Inter and intraspecific variation on reproductive phenology of the Brazilian Atlantic forest Rubiaceae: ecology and phylogenetic constraints
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Abstract: The reproductive phenology of seven species of Rubiaceae from the Brazilian Atlantic rain forest was compared to evaluate the occurrence of phylogenetic constraints on flowering and fruiting phenologies. Since phenological patterns can be affected by phylogenetic constraints, we expected that reproductive phenology would be similar among plants within a family or genus, occurring during the same time (or season) of the year. Observations on flowering and fruiting phenology were carried out monthly, from December 1996 to January 1998, at Núcleo Picinguaba, Parque Estadual da Serra do Mar, Ubatuba, São Paulo State, Brazil. Nine phenological variables were calculated to characterize, quantify and compare the reproductive phenology of the Rubiaceae species. The flowering patterns were different among the seven species studied, and the Kruskal-Wallis test indicated significant differences in flowering duration, first flowering, peak flowering and flowering synchrony. The peaks and patterns of fruiting intensity were different among the Rubiaceae species studied and they differed significantly from conspecifics in the phenological variables fruiting duration, fruiting peak date, and fruiting synchrony (Kruskal-Wallis test). Therefore, we found no evidence supporting the phylogenetic hypotheses, and climate does not seem to constrain flowering and fruiting patterns of the Rubiaceae species in the understory of the Atlantic forest.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site: The study was carried out at Núcleo Picinguaba, Serra do Mar State Park, Ubatuba Municipality, São Paulo State, Brazil (23°20'-23°22'S; 44°46'-44°51'W). The general climate is tropical-wet or tropical rainy according to Köppen (1948) system, with substantial rain occurring every month. The mean annual rainfall and temperature at Ubatuba is 2634 mm and 21.9°C (1961-1990), with high mean temperatures in February (30.4°C) and lowest in July (12.6°C). During the wetter season, from October to April, monthly total rainfall average is above 200 mm, and around 110 mm during the less wet or drier period, from May to September (Morellato et al. 2000). The shortest days occur in the beginning of the driest season. During this study, from December/96 to January/98, the mean temperature was 23.3°C and total precipitation was 2758 mm. November was the rainiest month (497.4 mm) and July the driest one (30.8 mm). Climate data are from the Estação Experimental de Ubatuba, Instituto Agronômico de Campinas (IAC).

The vegetation at the study site is classified as pre-montane forest, low montane forest or simply Atlantic rain forest, and is considered to be a “typical” Atlantic forest (Sanchez et al. 1999, Oliveira-Filho and Fontes 2000). The irregular canopy is composed of trees 15 - 25 m high, and includes a high diversity of species. A detailed description of the vegetation and climate can be found in Sanchez et al. (1999) and Morellato et al. (2000). We analyzed the phenology of 168 individuals distributed in seven Rubiaceae species (see Appendix I), sampled within 52 plots (10 x 10 m) distributed in well-preserved Atlantic rain forest (SanMartin-Gajardo and Morellato 2003). Vouchers of all studied species are deposited at the Herbarium Rioclarense (HRCB).

Plant Phenology: Observations on flowering and fruiting phenology were carried out monthly, from December 1996 to January 1998. Flowering was defined as presence of flower buds and/or open flowers since it is a characteristic of Rubiaceae species to produce many flower buds opening successively over a long period (Robbrecht 1988), and the phenological pattern was similar between flower buds and open flowers (SanMartin-Gajardo and Morellato 2003). For the same reasons, fruiting was also defined as the presence of unripe and ripe fruits. We applied the Fournier (1974) method to score the intensity of phenological events and to calculate the monthly percentage of activity for each species (SanMartin-Gajardo and Morellato 2003).

Phenological variables: In order to characterize, quantify and compare the reproductive phenology of Rubiaceae species nine phenological variables were calculated at individual (variables i, iv, vi, viii) and at population (variables ii, iii, v, vii, ix) levels (Augspurger 1985, Morellato et al. 2000) as follows:

i) Duration – number of months each individual spent flowering/fruiting.

ii) Mean duration – mean time, in months, a species spent flowering/fruiting, corresponding to the duration of the phenophase of each individual divided by the total number of individuals in that species.

iii) Total duration - the total number of months a species spent flowering/fruiting.

iv) Date of first flowering/fruiting – first month an individual began to flower/fruit. The date corresponding to the month in which the phenological event occurred. For statistical analyses, dates were converted into single numerical variables, and for each month was assigned a number from 1 to 12, starting with January.

v) First date synchrony – standard deviation around the mean of the dates of first flowering/fruiting of each individual for a given species.

vi) Date of peak flowering/fruiting – month of maximum intensity of flowering/fruiting for an individual according to the Fournier index.

vii) Peak date synchrony – standard deviation around the mean of the dates of flowering/fruiting peak of each individual of a given species. For variables v and vii, high standard
deviation values indicate low synchrony among individuals of a given species and zero indicates maximum synchrony (see Augspurger 1983).

viii) Index of synchrony of a given individual with its conspecifics \( X_i \) is defined as (Augspurger 1983):

\[
X_i = \frac{\sum ij}{(N - 1) f_i}
\]

Where \( ij \) is the number of months both individuals \( i \) and \( j \) are flowering/fruiting synchronously, \( j \neq i \); \( f_i \) is the number of months the individual \( i \) is flowering, and \( N \) is the number of individuals in population. When \( X = 1 \), it perfect synchrony occurs or there is a complete overlap between flowering/fruiter periods of individual \( i \) and \( j \) in the population; when \( X = 0 \), no synchrony occurs or there is no overlap between flowering/fruiting periods of the individuals \( i \) and \( j \).

ix) Index of population Synchrony \( (Z) \) – estimates the overlap on flowering or fruiting periods among individuals of the same species, and is defined as (Augspurger 1983):

\[
Z = \frac{\sum X_i}{N}
\]

Where \( N \) is the number of individuals in population, and \( X_i \) is the index of synchrony for individual \( i \). When \( Z = 1 \), it indicates perfect synchrony or flowering/fruiting periods of all individuals in the population occurs in the same time of the year; when \( Z = 0 \), indicates no synchrony or there is no overlap on flowering/fruiting periods among all individuals in the population/species.

The phenological variables were calculated for each individual and for those species for which at least five individuals flowering or fruiting during the observations. As most of the data distributions were not normal (Shapiro-Wilk W test), we performed the following analyses: The Kruskal-Wallis test was applied to verify if there is a significant difference (or not) between phenological variables of each species. The phenological variables compared were duration, date of first flowering/fruiting, date of peak flowering/fruiter and synchrony \( (X_i) \). When the Kruskal-Wallis test detected a significant difference, the Dunn test was performed for comparison between pairs of species. All analyses performed followed Zar (1996).

RESULTS

Flowering phenological variables: The percentage of individuals flowering per species was high, ranging from 73 to 100% (Table 1), and the flowering percent of Fournier was always above 50% for all species, except Faramea picinguabae (Fig. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total duration</th>
<th>Mean duration (SD)</th>
<th>Mean first date (SD)</th>
<th>Mean peak date (SD)</th>
<th>Index of synchrony Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faramea picinguabae</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>6.8 (3.9)</td>
<td>6.8 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria pubigera</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>9.5 (2.7)</td>
<td>9.1 (4.6)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria nuda</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.9 (1.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria leitana</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.5)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria birotula</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>8.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>9.5 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudgea jasminoides</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5)</td>
<td>7.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>7.9 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudgea vellerea</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>10.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>11.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% = percent of individuals flowering from total sampled (see Appendix I); SD = standard deviation.

* See text for definitions
The flowering patterns were different among the seven species observed, even though all species displayed a flowering peak during the wettest season, from October to March (Fig. 1). The Kruskal-Wallis test indicated significant differences in flowering duration ($H=60.53, p<.001$), first flowering ($H=76.57, p<.001$), peak flowering ($H=64.89, p<.001$) and flowering synchrony ($H=50.69, p<.001$) among the seven species studied. The pairwise comparisons for duration and peak date showed that the differences among the seven species studied were unable to distinguish groups of species (Table 2). However, the pairwise comparisons for first date of flowering grouped *Psychotria nuda* and *P. leitana* against the other five species of Rubiaceae (Table 2). Those two species presented first flowering dates at the end of the rainy season, while the other five species started to flower in the beginning of the rainy season (Fig. 1).

The total flowering duration and mean flowering duration differed greatly among the studied species, with the total duration ranging from two to 12 months (Table 1). The high values of standard deviation around the mean of flowering duration indicate a strong variation at the individual level, mainly in *F. picinguabae* (Table 1). Only *Rudgea vellerea* and *P. nuda* presented low standard deviations around the mean of both the first day and the peak of flowering, indicating a high synchrony in these variables. The latter is confirmed by the high population index of synchrony ($Z$) (Table 1).

**Fruiting phenological variables:** The percentage of individuals fruiting per species was high, ranging from 67 to 100% (Table 3). The only exception was *R. vellerea* with two out of eight flowering individuals producing fruits (Table 3). The fruiting percentage of Fournier was around 30% for most of the species, and both, the peaks and patterns of fruiting intensity, were different among the species (Fig. 1). In fact, the six species differed significantly from conspecifics in the duration of each phenophase ($H=14.73, p<.01$), the peak date ($H=11.86, p<.05$), and the synchrony ($H=20.68, p<.001$), but the pairwise...
comparisons performed for these three phenological variables were unable to distinguish groups of species (Table 2). Only the first date of fruiting did not differ significantly among the species.

The six species displayed low individual synchrony (high values of standard deviation) for mean fruiting duration, mean fruiting first date, and mean fruiting peak date (Table 3). This trend is confirmed by the low values of the population index of synchrony (Z), reaching up to 0.64 (Table 3). The total fruiting duration was long, ranging from nine months in *P. birotula* to 14 months in *P. leitana* and *P. nuda*.

**DISCUSSION**

The flowering patterns were different among the seven species of Rubiaceae studied at Picinguaba, in the Atlantic rain forest, with significant differences in flowering duration, first flowering, peak flowering and flowering synchrony. The peaks and patterns of fruiting intensity were also different among the Rubiaceae species and they differed significantly in the phenological variables such as fruiting duration, fruiting peak date, and fruiting synchrony. Similar reproductive phenology, occurring in the same time or season of the year, expected for species within the same family (cf. Kochmer and Handel 1986), was not observed for most of the phenological variables analyzed. Therefore, we found no evidence supporting the phylogenetic hypotheses, as suggested by Kochmer and Handel (1986).

Differences on flowering phenology among species within the same family, described here for Rubiaceae, were also observed for Bignoniaceae (Gentry 1974), Boraginaceae (Opler et al. 1975), Piperaceae (Fleming 1985), and Lauraceae (Wheelwright 1985). The general interpretation has been the sharing pollinator hypothesis: the interspecific

**TABLE 2**

Dunn multiple comparison test, same letters represent means not significantly different for flowering (Q_{0.05, 7}) and fruiting (Q_{0.05, 6}). The italic abbreviations refer to the first letter of the genera and the two first letters of species, ordered by ascendant rank mean (see Appendix I).

**Phenological variables**

**Comparisons**

**Flowering**

Duration

- *Rja*<sub>a</sub> *Rve*<sub>b</sub> *Fpi*<sub>a</sub> *Ple*<sub>d</sub> *Pnu*<sub>d</sub> *Pbi*<sub>c</sub> *Ple*<sub>d</sub>

First date

- *Pnu*<sub>a</sub> *Ple*<sub>a</sub> *Rja*<sub>b</sub> *Pbi*<sub>b</sub> *Fpi*<sub>b</sub> *Ppu*<sub>b</sub> *Rve*<sub>b</sub>

Peak date

- *Pnu*<sub>a</sub> *Ple*<sub>a</sub> *Rja*<sub>b</sub> *Pnu*<sub>b</sub> *Pbi*<sub>b</sub> *Fpi*<sub>b</sub> *Ppu*<sub>b</sub> *Rve*<sub>b</sub>

Synchrony (X<sub>i</sub>)

- *Fpi*<sub>a</sub> *Ppu*<sub>b</sub> *Rja*<sub>b</sub> *Ple*<sub>d</sub> *Pbi*<sub>b</sub> *Pnu*<sub>b</sub> *Rve*<sub>b</sub>

**Fruiting**

Duration

- *Pbi*<sub>a</sub> *Fpi*<sub>ab</sub> *Rja*<sub>b</sub> *Pnu*<sub>b</sub> *Ppu*<sub>b</sub> *Ple*<sub>b</sub>

Peak date

- *Rja*<sub>a</sub> *Pbi*<sub>ab</sub> *Ppu*<sub>b</sub> *Ple*<sub>b</sub> *Ple*<sub>b</sub> *Pnu*<sub>b</sub> *Rve*<sub>b</sub>

Synchrony (X<sub>i</sub>)

- *Fpi*<sub>a</sub> *Pbi*<sub>b</sub> *Pnu*<sub>b</sub> *Rja*<sub>b</sub> *Ple*<sub>b</sub> *Ppu*<sub>b</sub>

**TABLE 3**

Fruiting phenological variables of seven understory species of Rubiaceae from the Brazilian Atlantic forest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total duration</th>
<th>Mean duration (SD)</th>
<th>Mean first date (SD)</th>
<th>Mean peak date (SD)</th>
<th>Index of synchrony Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Faramea picinguabae</em></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.9 (2.8)</td>
<td>34 (1.9)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Psychotria pubigera</em></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.2 (2.9)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.9 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Psychotria nuda</em></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.1 (2.8)</td>
<td>5.1 (3.4)</td>
<td>7.5 (4.1)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Psychotria leitana</em></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.6 (3.1)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Psychotria birotula</em></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>2.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>5.3 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rudgea jasminoides</em></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rudgea vellerea</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% = percent of individuals fruiting from total sampled (see Appendix I); SD = standard deviation. * See text for definitions
competition for pollinators been a selective pressure leading to a segregation on flowering episodes among species (Ratchke and Lacey 1985). However, our knowledge of pollination ecology of Atlantic forest Rubiaceae is not great enough to evaluate this hypothesis. In the same way, the occurrence of Rubiaceae species fruiting all year round could be interpreted as a pattern defined by interspecific competition for seed dispersers (see Wheelwright 1985, Skeate 1987, Gorchov 1990). However, the Rubiaceae at Picinguaba does not fit in the description of sequential fruiting, since all species presented long or continuous fruiting periods with different degrees of overlap among them.

The differences among the Rubiaceae species studied are better understood when we analyze the phenological variables at an individual level (duration, date of first flowering/fruiting, peak flowering/fruiting and index of synchrony), and the population index of synchrony \(Z\). The flowering index of synchrony was significantly different among the seven species of Rubiaceae studied. Similar results are described by Augspurger (1983) for shrubs from seasonal forest at Panama. Both studies report a large range of \(Z\) values, from synchronous to asynchronous species. Augspurger (1983) correlated high flowering synchrony with short flowering duration and high species density in four of six species studied, including one Rubiaceae, \(P. horizontalis\) \((Z = 0.85)\). Similar correlations (Pearson test \(p<.05)\) were not found for \(R. vellerea, P. nuda\) and \(P. birotula\), the species with highest index of synchrony \((Z > 0.68)\) in this study. Medium to low values of reproductive synchrony \((Z)\), presented by most of the Rubiaceae species studied, are usually described for treelet and shrubs from the understory of tropical forests (Opler et al. 1980). The low synchrony observed is related to the long duration of reproductive phases, another pattern described for species from the understory of tropical forests (Frankie et al. 1974, Opler et al. 1975, Hilty 1980, Rathcke and Lacey 1985, Koptur et al. 1988, Levey 1988, Marquis 1988). Among the explanations for low synchrony we consider the inconstancy of selective forces and/or environmental heterogeneity (Ollerton and Lark 1992, 1998, Fox and Kelly 1993) the better interpretation for the patterns observed for Atlantic forest Rubiaceae.

Consequently, there does not seem to exist a strong environmental selective pressure constraint for the time of flowering or fruiting of the species studied. In fact, due to the low climate seasonality at the study site (see Morellato et al. 2000), the climatic factors also do not seem to limit the reproductive phenology of Rubiaceae species from the understory of the Atlantic forest, allowing flowering and fruiting to occur at any time of the year.
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RESUMEN

La fenología reproductiva de siete especies de Rubiaceae de una selva pluvial tropical Atlántica fue comparada para evaluar la presencia de limitaciones filogenéticas en los patrones de floración y fructificación. Dado que los patrones fenológicos puedan ser afectados por limitaciones filogenéticas, nosotros esperamos que la fenología reproductiva podría ser similar en plantas de una familia o género, en la misma estación durante el año. Las observaciones de la fenología de floración y fructificación fueron
hechas mensualmente, de diciembre de 1996 a enero de 1998, en el Núcleo Picinguaba, Parque Estadual da Serra do Mar, Ubatuba, São Paulo, Brasil. Nueve variables fenológicas fueron calculadas para caracterizar, cuantificar y comparar la fenología reproductiva de las especies de Rubiaceae. Los patrones de floración fueron diferentes entre las siete especies, el análisis de Kruskall Wallis indicó diferencias significativas en la duración, fecha de inicio, fecha de producción máxima y sincronía de floración. Los patrones de fructificación fueron diferentes entre las especies estudiadas y fueron también significativamente diferentes en las variables fenológicas: duración, fecha de producción máxima y sincronía de fructificación. Por lo tanto, nosotros no encontramos evidencias para apoyar la hipótesis filogenética y el clima parece no ser un fuerte limitante en los patrones de floración y fructificación de las especies estudiadas en el sotobosque de la floresta Atlántica.
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APPENDIX I

Author names, habit and vouchers numbers of seven understorey species of Rubiaceae from the Brazilian Atlantic forest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Habit</th>
<th>Vouchers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria nuda (Cham &amp; Schl.) Wawra</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>shrub/treelet</td>
<td>30 414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudkea jasminoides ssp micrantha Zappi</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>27 813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria birotula L.B. Sm. &amp; Downs</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>27 816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria leitana C.M. Taylor</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>27 819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotria pubigera Schltdl.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>treelet</td>
<td>27 812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudkea vellerea Muell. Agr.</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>tree</td>
<td>24 285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-tribe Coussareae
Faramea picinguabae M.Gomes | 22 | tree | 27 821 |

N = number individual sampled