The gonadosomatic index has been used as an indicator of reproductive activity (Elorduy-Garay and Ramírez-Luna 1994, Merayo 1996, Rajasilita et al. 1997). Its utility to detect hydrated ovaries and therefore detect reproductive period from increase in weight has been established by Hunter and Macewicz (1985). Thus the gonadosomatic index provides a useful estimation of spawning duration (Ceballos-Vázquez and Elorduy-Garay 1998). When the gonadosomatic index has been histologically validated, it provides useful information about the annual trend in the reproductive activity of the population. In *Holacanthus passer* Valenciennes, 1846, the annual trend in the mean monthly values of the gonadosomatic index is consistent with the reproductive activity and histological data. This indicates that the period of reproductive activity is from April to November (Arellano-Martínez et al. 1999).

In fisheries ecology, the study of condition is a standard practice and assumes that a heavier fish of a given length is in better condition (Bolger and Connolly 1989). Condition has been most effectively used to compare monospecific populations living under similar or apparently different environmental conditions, in determining the timing and duration of gonad maturation, and as an indication of changing gross nutritional balance during chronic alterations in feeding activity or food supply (Bolger and Connolly 1989).

The condition index has been used as a simple indicator of the physical and physiological status of the fish (e.g. it works as a relative measure of the nutritional status) (Encina and Granado-Lorencio 1997). It is also known that a close relationship exists between physiological status, condition, and reproductive stage. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the relationship between the gonadosomatic index.
and the condition index of a population of king angelfish *H. passer* V. from Gulf of California, Mexico.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

From June 1992 to May 1993, adult specimens of the king angelfish *H. passer* were collected monthly with Hawaiian speargun at 2 to 10 m depth, at Cueva de León (24°02' N, 110°24' W) in the Gulf of California, Mexico. Organisms were measured (total length, mm) and weighed (total weight, g). Gonads were dissected and weighed (0.01 g accuracy).

The size of the ovary in the fish increases as it develops; therefore the ratio between the size of the ovary and the size of the body is an objective indicator of ovarian development (West 1990). Thus the gonadosomatic index (IG) was calculated as follows:

\[ I_G = \frac{W_g}{W} \times 100 \]

where \( W_g \) = gonad weight, and \( W \) = total weight.

The ratio between weight and length was used to calculate the condition index (K) as follows:

\[ K = \frac{W}{L^3} \times 100 \]

where \( W \) = total weight, and \( L \) = total length (Maddock and Burton 1999). The condition index assumes that growth is isometric, but the exponent 3 can be considered simply as a method of transforming the linear dimensions of length to the cubic dimensions of weight (Bolger and Connolly 1989).

As \( I_G \) and \( K \) are a percentage value, the arcsine transformation (Sokal and Rohlf 1995) was used to attain normality and homoscedasticity of data for statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

A total of 194 specimens were collected: 112 females and 82 males. Females ranged in total length from 90 to 222 mm (161.9 ± 22.3 mm) and males ranged from 81 to 253 mm (201.2 ± 40.5 mm).

The mean values of IG (Fig. 1a, b) were low (< 1.25 for females and < 0.08 for males) from mid-autumn (October-November) to mid-spring (May), except in April for males when there was an increase in the IG due to a larger proportion of developing and ripe individuals in the sample (Fig 1b). At the beginning of summer (June), the female index reached the highest value (2.45), and tended to decrease over the next months (Fig. 1a). On the other hand, the highest values of K for both sexes were observed from late autumn (December) through mid-spring (January to May), whereas the lowest values occurred from late spring (June) to mid-autumn (November), in coincidence with reproductive activity (Fig. 1a, b).

![Fig. 1. Monthly variation of the mean values of gonadosomatic index and condition index of *Holacanthus passer* from Cueva de León, B.C.S., México. a) Females, b) Males.](image)
The values of IG and K had an opposite seasonal pattern (Fig. 1): There was a significant negative linear correlation ($P \leq 0.05$) between IG and K for females ($r = -0.76$) and males ($r = -0.63$).

There was a significant difference in IG (One way analysis of variance ANOVA, $F_{1,192} = 282.48; P \leq 0.05$) but not in K values (One way ANOVA, $F_{1,192} = 0.06; P \geq 0.05$) between males and females. One way ANOVA analysis, computed independently for each sex, detected seasonal differences of IG and K: female IG ($F_{11,100} = 21.05; P \leq 0.05$), male IG ($F_{10,70} = 4.80; P \leq 0.05$), female K ($F_{11,100} = 12.41; P \leq 0.05$), and male K ($F_{10,70} = 14; P \leq 0.05$). Tukey’s test for IG detected three groups: The first group includes female samples from June to August 1992, showing IG values $> 1.8 \%$; and male samples from June to September 1992 with values $> 0.9 \%$. The second group consists of female samples from September to December 1992, and February 1993, with IG values between 0.58 \% and 1.17 \% respectively, and male samples from October 1992 to January, and April 1993, with IG values between 0.05 \% and 0.7 \%. The third group contains female samples from January to May except February 1993, with IG values $< 0.34 \%$; and male samples from March and May 1993 with values $< 0.05 \%$.

**DISCUSSION**

The presence of ripe males started in April (as indicated by the considerable rise of IG values) whereas the presence of ripe females was detected until June. These results are supported by a histological analysis performed on the same organisms (Arellano-Martínez et al. 1999). Consistent with our results, the authors found that 16.6 \% of males were ripe in April, whereas ripe females were not found until June (66.6 \%). This suggests that males are potentially reproductive more time than females. The male gonad is smaller than the female gonad, therefore the male fish requires less stored energy for gonadal development and it may start to mature earlier than females. In freshwater species, other authors (based on IG cycles) determined that maximum testis development occurred before that of ovaries (Mann 1974, Philippart 1981, Poncin et al. 1987, Cowx 1990, Encina and Granado-Lorencio 1997), our results suggest that this phenomenon also occurs in marine species.

The IG monthly variation in *H. passer* is closely related to the reproductive activity on the basis of histological validation made by Arellano-Martínez et al. (1999). On the other hand, K usually has been used as a relative measure of the nutritional status of fish (Encina and Granado-Lorencio 1997). In *H. passer*, the reproductive activity is inversely related to the nutritional status. It is more evident for females because of their higher energy requirement for gonad development (Encina and Granado-Lorencio 1997).

In *H. passer*, the higher K values found during the inactive reproductive period (smaller IG values, winter-spring) could be produced by accumulation of reserve substances, to be used during ripening. The beginning of gonadal development (rising IG values) coincided with the highest K values, whereas their depletion occurred in both sexes just before the maximum gonadal development (highest IG values) and during spawning (summer-autumn).

There are some explanations for the depletion of K values. One could be a change in feeding patterns, which could in turn be a behavioral response to certain stressors such as water contamination with chemicals (Brown et al. 1987). Another could be an increase in metabolic rate in response to stress factors such as physical disturbance, which force the fish to struggle (Barton and Schreck 1987). According to our data, in *H. passer*, the decrease in K values is interpreted as depletion of energy reserves such as liver glycogen or body fat, which later is transferred from somatic tissues to the gonad to be used in oocyte maturation. The same decline in condition during the spawning period is recorded for other species (Bagenal 1957, Ceballos-Vázquez 1993, Rajasilta et al. 1997, Maddock and
Burton 1999). Nevertheless, in order to get a precise conclusion on the interaction between condition and reproductive biology in *H. passer*, a more detailed study must be accomplished, including calorimetric, biochemical and histochemical studies in gonad, liver and muscle in order to know the energetic transference between organs in relation to the reproductive cycle.

Notwithstanding, our results reveal that the condition index may be used to determine the time of reproduction of the species in other localities without sacrificing the organisms. This could be a valuable tool to develop monitoring programs for fisheries and to culture this species.
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**RESUMEN**

Se evaluó la variación anual y la relación entre el índice gonadosomático (IG) y el índice de condición (K) de *Holacanthus passer* de un total de 194 especímenes recolectados en Cueva de León, Golfo de California, México (24°02’ N, 110°24’ W). Se encontró una correlación negativa significativa entre IG y K para ambos sexos: los índices presentan un patrón estacional opuesto. La actividad reproductiva de ambos sexos está inversamente relacionada con el estado nutricional del pez. Se encontró una diferencia significativa en los valores de IG pero no en los valores de K entre machos y hembras. El índice de condición puede ser usado para determinar la época de reproducción de la especie en otras localidades sin sacrificar al organismo y puede ser una herramienta valiosa para el desarrollo de programas de monitoreo para la pesquería y cultivo de la especie.
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