

CLINICAL RESEARCH

DOI: 10.15517/IJDS.2022.51090

Received:
6-VIII-2021

Accepted:
3-X-2021

Published Online:
23-V-2022

Assessment of Root Canal Anatomy of Maxillary and Mandibular Canine Teeth: a Cone-Beam Computed Tomography Study

Evaluación de la anatomía del canal radicular de caninos maxilares y mandibulares: un estudio de tomografía computarizada de haz cónico

Özlem Okumuş¹; Ata Nisa Çoban Kanyılmaz²

1. Asst. Prof. Altınbas University, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. Bakırköy, Istanbul, Turkey. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5590-2357>

2. Asst. Prof. Altınbas University, Faculty of Dentistry, Department of Endodontics. Bakırköy, Istanbul, Turkey. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0061-3793>

Correspondence to: Dr. Ata Nisa Çoban Kanyılmaz - atanisa.coban@altinbas.edu.tr

ABSTRACT: To investigate the root canal anatomy of permanent maxillary and mandibular canines in a Turkish subpopulation using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). Retrospective CBCT data of 300 patients admitted to our clinic between 2016 and 2018 were screened and evaluated. A total of 235 patients, 100 males and 135 females, aged 14-76 years (mean age 37.27 ± 13.40) were included in this study. A total of 191 (44,8%) maxillary canine teeth and 235 (55,2%) mandibular canine teeth were examined. The number of roots and root canal morphology according to Vertucci's classification, the presence of accessory canals, and the position of the apical foramen of the root were analyzed. The effect of gender and age on the incidence of root canal morphology was also investigated. The majority of the teeth had a Type I canal configuration in both maxillary canines (100%) and mandibular canines (92,8%). In the mandibular canines the other canal patterns found were Type III (6,8%), and Type II (0,4%). Apical foramen was centrally positioned in the majority of the teeth, 70,2% and 66,8% in maxillary and mandibular canines, respectively. The occurrence of two roots in mandibular canines was 3,8% and the root canal separation was found 53,8% and 46,2% in the middle and cervical third of the root, respectively. No significant statistical difference was observed effect of gender and age on the incidence of the root canal morphology and the position of the apical foramen. Due to the diverse morphology and the potential presence of a second canal for canine teeth among the Turkish subpopulation, dentists should perform endodontic treatments with greater care. CBCT is an accurate tool for the morphological assessment of the root canals.

KEYWORDS: Accessory canals; Cone-beam computed tomography; Mandibular canine teeth; Maxillary canine teeth; Root canal morphology; Vertucci's classification.

RESUMEN: Investigar la anatomía del conducto radicular de los caninos maxilares y mandibulares permanentes en una subpoblación turca utilizando la tomografía computarizada de haz cónico (CBCT). Se examinaron y evaluaron los datos CBCT retrospectivos de 300 pacientes ingresados en nuestra clínica entre 2016 y 2018. Un total de 235 pacientes, 100 hombres y 135 mujeres, de entre 14 y 76 años (edad media de $37,27 \pm 13,40$) fueron incluidos en este estudio. Se examinaron un total de 191 (44,8%) dientes caninos maxilares y 235 (55,2%) dientes caninos mandibulares. Se analizó el número de raíces y la morfología del conducto radicular según la clasificación de Vertucci, la presencia de conductos accesorios y la posición del foramen apical de la raíz. También se investigó el efecto del sexo y la edad en la incidencia de la morfología del conducto radicular. La mayoría de los dientes tenían una configuración de conductos de tipo I tanto en los caninos maxilares (100%) como en los caninos mandibulares (92,8%). En los caninos mandibulares los otros patrones de conductos encontrados fueron el Tipo III (6,8%) y el Tipo II (0,4%). El foramen apical estaba situado en posición central en la mayoría de los dientes, 70,2% y 66,8% en los caninos maxilares y mandibulares, respectivamente. La ocurrencia de dos raíces en los caninos mandibulares es del 3,8% y la separación del conducto radicular se encontró en el tercio medio y cervical de la raíz en el 53,8% y el 46,2%, respectivamente. No se observó ninguna diferencia estadística significativa en el efecto del sexo y la edad sobre la incidencia de la morfología del conducto radicular y la posición del foramen apical. Debido a la diversa morfología y a la posible presencia de un segundo conducto en los dientes caninos entre la subpoblación turca, los odontólogos deberían realizar los tratamientos endodónticos con mayor cuidado. La CBCT es una herramienta precisa para la evaluación morfológica de los conductos radiculares.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Canales accesorios; Tomografía computarizada de haz cónico; Dientes caninos mandibulares; Dientes caninos maxilares; Morfología del canal radicular; Clasificación de Vertucci.

INTRODUCTION

Root canal morphology and morphological anatomical variations significantly affect the success of endodontic treatment (1). Awareness of the variations in the root canal can avoid endodontic treatment failure and determine the best treatment plan (2,3). Canine teeth are strategically important in the dental arch. It has long and stable roots and is the longest remaining teeth in the mouth. They guide occlusion during eccen-

tric movements and chewing so it is very important for prosthetic support (4). The most common root canal pattern in the canine teeth is a single root and a single canal but some may have two roots and two canals (5,6). The inability to find this additional canal leads to failure of endodontic treatment. Race and genetic factors are thought to affect root canal morphology. Therefore, the root canal morphologies of different ethnic populations should also be considered in order to increase the success rate in endodontic treatments (7,8).

In recent years, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) has gained increasing importance in endodontics *in vivo* for the three-dimensional evaluation of the root canal system. It has been stated to be as reliable as the modified canal dyeing and transparency technique (9,10). The data acquired by CBCT presents coronal, sagittal, and axial sections, decreasing the superposition of anatomical structures. Additionally, CBCT is preferred due to its low cost, high resolution, a lower dose of radiation, and better image quality (11,12). Conventional radiography, root canal staining methods, clearing methods, tooth sectioning, microscopic observation, and CBCT have been used in previous investigations (10,13-15). There are limited studies of different populations in the literature evaluating root and canal morphology of canine teeth using CBCT (2,3,5,6,16-18). In addition, there is no study examining the apical foramen localization and bifurcation point in root canal morphology of canine teeth using CBCT in the Turkish population. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the number of roots and root canals in the canine teeth in the Turkish population and the bifurcation point with two canals, and the position of apical foramen using CBCT images.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this retrospective study, CBCT data of 300 patients between 2016 and 2018 were screened and evaluated. A total of 235 patients, 100 males, and 135 females were included in this study. A total of 426 maxillary and mandibular canine teeth were analyzed. The ethical approval was obtained from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Altınbaş University (approval number: 2020/26). The CBCT was performed to evaluate patients requiring different indications such as dental implant planning, impacted third molar localization, jaw lesions, trauma, or the maxillary sinus pathologies. Before radiographic examinations, informed consent was received from all patients. Inclusion criteria were patients with the presence of at least one mandibular anterior tooth, absence of root canal fillings, coronal and post restorations, periapical lesions, fixed crown restorations, orthodontic braces, and high quality CBCT images. After excluding images that did not meet the inclusion criteria, 235 CBCT images were included in the study.

CBCT images of all patients were obtained with NewTom VGi evo (CeflaGroup, Verona, Italy) device. After setting the device to 1-32mA and 110 kV, images with a voxel size of 0.3mm were created with a single 360-degree rotation. Radiological images were evaluated on a 22" high image quality and 1920x1080 display resolution Barco medical monitor to provide an effective evaluation by an oral radiologist (OO) and an endodontist (ANK). NNT Viewer (CeflaGroup, Verona, Italy) was used for the reconstruction and evaluation of all projections. The brightness and contrast of the images were adjusted to ensure optimal visualization. The maxillary and mandibular canines of the 20% of the images were assessed separately by two observers to evaluate inter-observer compliance. When disagreements occurred, it was discussed and reached a final consensus.

Maxillary and mandibular canine teeth were evaluated for the number of roots, root canals, and canal morphology based on the Vertucci's method (18). All root canal configurations were recorded as two root canals, except type I (single canal). Also, the beginning and end points of the separation in cases with more than one single canal were analyzed.

The canal configuration was classified based on the following criteria of Vertucci's method (18);

1. Type I: Only one canal extending from the pulp chamber to the apex.

2. Type II: Two canals, which leave the pulp chamber separately, are joined in the apical region and terminate as a single canal.

2. Type II: Two canals, which leave the pulp chamber separately, are joined in the apical region and terminate as a single canal.

3. Type III: The single canal that leaves the pulp chamber is divided into two, and then ends up as a single canal in the apical region.

4. Type IV: Two separate canals that leave the pulp chamber terminate in two separate canals in the apical region.

5. Type V: The canal that leaves the pulp chamber as a single canal terminates by dividing into two canals.

6. Type VI: Two distinct canals that leave the pulp chamber first merge into a single canal and separate again in the apical region and end in two separate canals.

7. Type VII: A single canal leaving the pulp chamber is divided into two, then rejoined to form a single canal, and then re-divided at the apical region and terminates with two separate foramens.

8. Type VIII: Three distinct canals leave the pulp chamber and terminate separately.

The root canal separation was grouped as the cervical, middle and apical third of the root in cases of type II-type VII.

For the statistical analyses, the IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM SPSS, Turkey) program was used while assessing the findings of the study. Chi-square test, Fisher Freeman Halton test and Yates's continuity correction were used to compare qualitative data as well as descriptive statistical methods (mean, standard deviation [SD], and

frequency). Values of <0.05 were considered as significant statistically ($P<0.05$).

RESULTS

Among 300 patients, 426 maxillary and mandibular canine teeth in 235 patients matched with our inclusion criteria were evaluated, 100 (42,6%) were male, and 135 (57,4%) were female. The age range was 14-76 years (mean age \pm SD: 37.27 ± 13.40 years).

A total of 191 (44,8%) maxillary canine teeth and 235 (55,2%) mandibular canine teeth were evaluated (Table 1). All the maxillary canines had a single root and single canal. None of them have bifurcation points. In the majority of maxillary canines, the apical foramen is positioned centrally at 70,2% and laterally at 29,8%. (Table 2).

Table 1. Vertucci classification, number of canals, number of roots, bifurcation point, and apical foramen distributions in all canine teeth.

		n	%
Vertucci classification (n=426)	Type I	409	96,0
	Type II	1	0,2
	Type III	16	3,8
Number of canals (n=426)	Single canal	409	95,9
	Double canal	17	4,1
Number of roots (n=426)	Single root	417	97,9
	Double root	9	2,1
Bifurcation point (n=17)	Middle	9	53,8
	Servical	8	46,2
Apical Foramen (n=426)	Central	291	68,3
	Lateral	135	31,7

Table 2. Vertucci classification, number of canals, number of roots, bifurcation point, and apical foramen distributions in the maxillary canines (Root canal classification of maxillary canines).

		n	%
Vertucci classification (n=191)	Type I	191	100
	Type II	0	0
	Type III	0	0
Number of canals (n=191)	Single canal	191	100
	Double canal	0	0
Number of roots (n=191)	Single root	191	100
	Double root	0	0
Bifurcation point (n=0)	Middle	0	0
	Servical	0	0
Apical Foramen (n=191)	Central	134	70,2
	Lateral	57	29,8

The mandibular canine teeth had a single root of 96,2%, and a single canal of 88,9%. The majority of the teeth had a Type I canal configuration in mandibular canines (92,8%). The prevalence of the second canal in mandibular canine teeth was 7,2% and the other canal patterns found were Type III (6,8%) and Type II (0,4%). In the majority of mandibular canines, the apical foramen is positioned centrally at 66,8% and laterally at 33,2%. The root canal separation in two root canals of mandibular canine was detected in the middle third of the root with a 53,8% ratio and

in the cervical third of the root with 46,2% ratio (Table 3).

Table 3. Vertucci classification, number of canals, number of roots, bifurcation point, and apical foramen distributions in the mandibular canines (Root canal classification of mandibular canines).

		n	%
Vertucci classification (n=235)	Type I	218	92,8
	Type II	1	0,4
	Type III	16	6,8
Number of canals (n=235)	Single canal	218	92,8
	Double canal	17	7,2
Number of roots (n=235)	Single root	226	96,2
	Double root	9	3,8
Bifurcation point (n=17)	Middle	9	53,8
	Servical	8	46,2
	Apical Foramen (n=235)	Central	157
	Lateral	78	33,2

There was no statistically significant difference between the Vertucci classifications and root canal numbers by gender ($p>0.05$). The incidence of Type I morphology was found at 96,2% and 95,9% in males and females, respectively. While 93,4% of females had one canal and 6,6% of them had two canals, 94,5% of males had one canal and 5,5% of them had two canals. There was also no statistically significant difference between genders in root canal separation and the position of the apical foramen (Table 4).

Table 4. Distribution of maxillary and mandibular canines teeth by gender.

	Maxillary		Mandibular		Total	
	Female n (%)	Male n (%)	Female n (%)	Male n (%)	Female n (%)	Male n (%)
Vertucci classification	109 (%100)	82 (%100)	125 (%92,6)	93 (%93)	234 (%95,9)	175 (%96,2)
Type I	-	-	1 (%0,7)	0 (%0)	1 (%0,4)	0 (%0)
Type II	-	-	9 (%6,7)	7 (%7)	9 (%3,7)	7 (%3,8)
Type III	109 (%100)	82 (%100)	125 (%92,6)	93 (%93)	234 (%95,9)	175 (%96,2)
Canal number	-	-	10 (%7,4)	7 (%7)	10 (%4,1)	7 (%3,8)
Single canal	109 (%100)	82 (%100)	129 (%95,6)	97 (%97)	238 (%97,5)	179 (%98,4)
Double canal	-	-	6 (%4,4)	3 (%3)	6 (%2,5)	3 (%1,6)
Root number	109 (%100)	82 (%100)	129 (%95,6)	97 (%97)	238 (%97,5)	179 (%98,4)
Single root	-	-	4 (%3,0)	3 (%3)	4 (%1,6)	3 (%1,6)
Double root	-	-	4 (%3,0)	3 (%3)	4 (%1,6)	3 (%1,6)
Bifurcation point	-	-	4 (%3,0)	3 (%3)	4 (%1,6)	3 (%1,6)
Middle	-	-	4 (%3,0)	3 (%3)	4 (%1,6)	3 (%1,6)
Servical	-	-	4 (%3,0)	3 (%3)	4 (%1,6)	3 (%1,6)
Apical Foramen	76 (%69,7)	58 (%70,7)	95 (%70,4)	62 (%62)	171 (%70,1)	120 (%65,9)
Central	33 (%30,3)	24 (%29,3)	40 (%29,6)	38 (%38)	73 (%29,9)	62 (%34,1)
Lateral	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	109	82	125	93	234	175
p	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Fisher Freeman Halton Test. ²Continuity (yates) correction. ³Fisher's Exact Test. ⁴Ki-kare te.

DISCUSSION

In endodontic treatment, failure to identify extra canals, being unaware of the presence an additional canal and insufficient debridement are the most common causes of failure. Therefore, in order to be successful in endodontic treatment, root canal morphology must be well known (6). Analysis of root canal morphologies of teeth belonging to different populations and ethnic origins and preoperative evaluation of root canal systems are essential in this respect (10). Staining and cleaning technique on extracted teeth has been used in previous studies to evaluate root canal anatomy of canine teeth (16-20). CBCT is a low radiation dose technique that has been proven to be accurate in evaluating root canal systems, frequently used today. In addition, there are few studies examining root and canal morphology of canine teeth using CBCT in the Turkish population (21-24).

In the present study, the most common root canal pattern in the maxillary canine was a Type I in 100% of the samples (Figure 1). Similar findings were reported by Pineda and Kuttler (100%) (15), Vertucci (100%) (18), and Mağat (0,1%) (23) but the prevalence of an additional canal in maxillary canines, in this study, was lower than Amardeep *et al.* (20,4%) (5), Çalışkan *et al.* (6,52%) (19), Sert and Bayırlı (6,5%) (20), Altunsoy (female 1,3%, male 3,2%) (21), and Büyükbayram *et al.* (2,44%) (25), in maxillary canines (Table 5). The difference in the findings of the other authors may be explained by study techniques, number of patients, the number of teeth and different populations.

The most common root canal pattern in the mandibular canines had the same pattern, with a single root and a single canal. Type III was obser-

ved most frequently among teeth with two root canals, followed by Types II; Types IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII were not observed (Figure 2). The prevalence of an additional canal in the mandibular canine teeth in this study was 7,2%, which was close to the findings of Han *et al.* (6,3%) (2), Rahimi *et al.* (9,4%) (17), Kayaoğlu *et al.* (6,1%) (22), Altunsoy (female 6%, male 8,4%) (21), and Mağat (9,4%) (23), but lower than those of Amardeep (20,4%) (5), Vertucci (22%) (18), Çalışkan *et al.* (19,6%) (19) and Sert and Bayırlı (24%) (20), and Büyükbayram *et al.* (15,84%) (24) (Table 6).

Most of the additional canals did not course along the root length; in most cases, the canals began at one orifice, divided into two, and then continued as a single canal with one foramen. However, in some cases, two canals began from two orifices and fused into one and then continued as a single canal. Dentists in clinical practice should therefore take into account the position of all root canals to debride and remove pulp tissue.

In all other studies, Vertucci Type I has been observed as the most prevalent, as in our study. Of the double root canals, Types II and III were the most observed canal types in previous studies. In studies conducted with CBCT (2,5,18-24) the most common type of double root canal was Type III, as in our study.

In the present study, gender was not related to the presence of double root canals. There was no statistically significant difference between the Vertucci classifications and root canal numbers by gender ($p>0.05$). In addition, there was also no statistically significant difference between genders in root canal separation and the position of the apical foramen.



Figure 1. CBCT cross sectional image of maxillary canine teeth Type I.

Table 5. Prevalence of a additional canal in maxillary canine teeth.

Previous Studies	Population	Technique	Year	Number of Teeth	%
Pineda and Kuttler	Mexico	Radiographs	1972	260	0
Vertucci	USA	Staining and Clearing	1984	100	0
Caliskan <i>et al.</i>	Turkey	Staining and Clearing	1995	200	6,52
Sert and Bayırlı	Turkey	Staining and Clearing	2004	200	6,5
Altunsoy <i>et al.</i> (male)	Turkey	CBCT	2014	773	3,2
Altunsoy <i>et al.</i> (female)	Turkey	CBCT	2014	750	1,3
Amardeep <i>et al.</i>	India	CBCT	2014	250	8,4
Büyükbayram <i>et al.</i>	Turkey	CBCT	2015	82	2,44
Mağat	Turkey	CBCT	2019	820	0,1
Present Study	Turkey	CBCT	2020	191	0



Figure 2. CBCT cross sectional images of mandibular canine teeth (A) Type I, (B) Type II, (C) Type III.

Table 6. Prevalence of a additional canal in mandibular canine teeth.

Previous Studies	Population	Technique	Year	Number of Teeth	%
Pineda and Kuttler	Mexico	Radiographs	1972	187	13,5
Vertucci	USA	Staining and Clearing	1984	100	22
Pecora <i>et al.</i>	Brazil	Staining and Clearing	1993	149	7,8
Caliskan <i>et al.</i>	Turkey	Staining and Clearing	1995	100	19,6
Sert and Bayırlı	Turkey	Staining and Clearing	2004	200	24
Rahimi <i>et al.</i>	India	Staining and Clearing	2013	131	9,4
Han <i>et al.</i>	China	CBCT	2014	1210	6,3
Amardeep <i>et al.</i>	India	CBCT	2014	250	20,4
Kayaoğlu <i>et al.</i>	Turkey	CBCT	2015	134	6,1
Büyükbayram <i>et al.</i>	Turkey	Staining and Clearing	2015	101	15,84
Mağat	Turkey	CBCT	2019	820	9,4
Present Study	Turkey	CBCT	2020	235	7,2

CONCLUSION

The following conclusions may be reached from this retrospective study:

- In a Turkish subpopulation, the maxillary canines showed mostly single root and single canal morphology, however, the prevalence of two root canals in mandibular canines was 7,2%.
- Apical foramen was centrally positioned in the majority of the teeth, 70,2% and 66,8% in maxillary and mandibular canines, respectively.
- In the mandibular canine teeth, the root canal separation was located in the middle third of the root in almost 53,8% of cases.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Conceptualization and design: Ö.O. and A.N.K.

Literature review: Ö.O. and A.N.K.

Methodology and validation: Ö.O. and A.N.K.

Investigation and data collection: Ö.O. and A.N.K.

Data analysis and interpretation: Ö.O. and A.N.K.

Writing-original draft preparation: A.N.K.

Writing-review & editing: Ö.O. and A.N.K.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Patel S., Horner K. The use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics. *Int Endod J.* 2009;42:755-6.
2. Han T., Ma Y., Yang L., Chen X., Zhang X., Wang Y. A study of the root canal morphology of mandibular anterior teeth using cone-beam computed tomography in a Chinese subpopulation. *J Endod.* 2014; 40: 1309-14.
3. Haghanifar S., Moudi E., Bijani A., Ghanbarabadi M.K. Morphologic assessment of mandibular anterior teeth root canal using CBCT. *Acta Med Acad.* 2017; 46: 85-93.
4. Abduo J., Tennant M., McGeachie J. Lateral occlusion schemes in natural and minimally restored permanent dentition: A systematic review. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2013; 40: 788-802.
5. Amardeep S.N., Raghu S., Natanasabapathy V. Root Canal Morphology of Permanent Maxillary and Mandibular Canines in Indian Population Using Cone Beam Computed Tomography. *Anat Res Int.* 2014;1-7.
6. Sharma R., Pécora J.D., Lumley P.J., Walmsley A.D. The external and internal anatomy of human mandibular canine teeth with two roots. *Endod Dent Traumatol.* 1998;14: 88-92.
7. Weng X.L., Yu S.B., Zhao S.L., Wang H.G., Mu T., Tang R.Y., Zhou X.D. Root canal morphology of permanent maxillary teeth in the han nationality in chinese guanzhong area: a new modified root canal staining technique. *J Endod.* 2009; 35: 651-6.
8. Neelakantan P., Subbarao C., Ahuja R., Subbarao C.V., Gutmann J.L. Cone-beam computed tomography study of root and canal morphology of maxillary first and second molars in an Indian population. *J Endod.* 2010; 36: 1622-7.
9. Aminsobhani M., Sadegh M., Meraji N., Razmi H., Kharazifard M.J. Evaluation of the root and canal morphology of mandibular permanent anterior teeth in an Iranian population by cone-beam computed tomography. *J Dent (Tehran).* 2013; 10: 358-66.
10. Neelakantan P., Subbarao C., Subbarao C.V. Comparative evaluation of modified canal staining and clearing technique, cone-beam computed tomography, peripheral quantitative computed tomography, spiral computed tomography, and plain and contrast medium-enhanced digital radiography in studying root canal morphology. *J Endod.* 2010; 36: 1547-51.

11. Cotton T.P., Geisler T.M., Holden D.T., Schwartz S.A., Schindler W.G. Endodontic applications of cone-beam volumetric tomography. *J Endod.* 2007; 33: 1121-32
12. Scarfe W.C., Levin M.D., Gane D., Farman A.G. Use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics. *Int J Dent.* 2009; 2009: 634567.
13. Schwarze T., Baethge C., Stecher T., Geurtsen W. Identification of second canals in the mesio-buccal root of maxillary first and second molars using magnifying loupes or an operating microscope. *Aust Endod J.* 2002; 28: 57-60.
14. Omer O.E., Al Shalabi R.M., Jennings M., Glennon J., Claffey N.M. A comparison between clearing and radiographic techniques in the study of the root-canal anatomy of maxillary first and second molars. *Int Endod J.* 2004; 37: 291-6.
15. Pineda F., Kuttler Y. Mesiodistal and buccolingual roentgenographic investigation of 7,275 root canals. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1972; 33:101-10.
16. Pecora J.D., Sousa Neto M.D., Saquy P.C. Internal anatomy, direction and number of roots and size of human mandibular canines. *Braz Dent J.* 1993;4:153-7.
17. Rahimi S., Milani A.S., Shahi S., Sergiz Y., Nezafati S., Lotfi M. Prevalence of two root canals in human mandibular anterior teeth in an Iranian population. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2013; 24: 234-6.
18. Vertucci F.J. Root canal anatomy of the human permanent teeth. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1984; 58: 589-99.
19. Caliskan M.K., Pehlivan Y., Sepetcioglu F., Türkün M., Tuncer S.S. Root canal morphology of human permanent teeth in a Turkish population. *J Endod.* 1995; 21: 200-4.
20. Sert S., Bayirli G.S. Evaluation of the root canal configurations of the mandibular and maxillary permanent teeth by gender in the Turkish population. *J Endod.* 2004; 30: 391-8.
21. Altunsoy M., Ok E., Nur B.G., Aglarci O.S., Gungor E., Colak M. A cone-beam computed tomography study of the root canal morphology of anterior teeth in a Turkish population. *Eur J Dent.* 2014; 8: 302-6.
22. Kayaoglu G., Peker I., Gumusok M., Sarikir C., Kayadugun A., Ucock O. Root and canal symmetry in the mandibular anterior teeth of patients attending a dental clinic: CBCT study. *Braz Oral Res.* 2015; 29: 1-7.
23. Mağat G. A cone beam computed tomography study of the root morphology of canine teeth in a Turkish subpopulation. *Selcuk Dent J.* 2019; 6: 65-70.
24. Büyükbayram I.K., Elçin M.A., Aydemir S., Özkale C. The analysis of root canal morphologies of maxillary and mandibular canine teeth using cone beam computed tomography. *Türkiye Klinikleri J Endod-Special Topics.* 2015; 1: 40-6.



Attribution (BY-NC) - (BY) You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggest the licensor endorses you or your use. (NC) You may not use the material for commercial purposes.