NEW COMBINATIONS IN CYRTOCHILUM (ORCHIDACEAE: ONCIDIINAE)
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ABSTRACT. Two species, originally described as Odontoglossum amphiglottis and Odontoglossum sodiroi are here transferred to Cyrtochilum (Orchidaceae: Oncidiinae), based on molecular data and morphological features, accompanied by brief discussions about their taxonomic background and natural history, and illustrated with line drawings and photographs. Both species were previously considered to be synonyms of C. ramosissimum by the author of this paper and others, due to limited access of type material. But recent field discoveries reveal that a much stouter growth habit and distinctly corymbose inflorescences distinguish O. amphiglottis from C. ramosissimum. In the case of O. sodiroi the smoothly rounded pair of basal lip calli, which are marginally covered externally by the ventral flanks of the column, distinguish this species from C. ramosissimum, which has more or less denticulate basal callus keels on the lip, and where the ventral columnar flanks are marginally placed between these keels.

KEY WORDS: Cyrtochilum, new combinations, Oncidiinae, Orchidaceae

Introduction. The genus Cyrtochilum Kunth never stops presenting surprises. Striking, as well as insignificant new species appear in a seemingly never-ending flow. Some new names turn out to be synonyms of older ones, and some older synonyms turn out to represent distinct species as we go back and re-study the type specimens, decipher obscure descriptions and compare them with freshly made field observations.

John Lindley (1852) described Odontoglossum ramosissimum Lindl. (Fig. 1–3), from a plant collected in Venezuela by Jean Linden (J. Linden 661, K-L, W). Lindley placed the plant in Odontoglossum Kunth, based primarily on the flower morphology. The shape of the column and the lip were early on considered important taxonomic features, and in Odontoglossum the lip and column were supposed to be “parallel” (in a very broad sense). But then it was discovered that many not closely related plants also produce flowers with a similar shape. In addition, not all true Odontoglossum species share the same lip-column structure and angle. Based on a broader morphological concept, including vegetative features in combination with molecular data (Williams et al. 2001), O. ramosissimum together with many closely related species were transferred to Cyrtochilum in a synopsis of the genus (Dalström 2001). In this synopsis O. amphiglottis Rehb.f. (originally described as “amphiglottii”, which is believed to be a misspelling) and Odontoglossum sodiroi Schltr., were included as synonyms of C. ramosissimum.

In the case of Odontoglossum sodiroi, Rudolf Schlechter based his description on two different Ecuadorean collections made by the Jesuit priest Luis Sodiro (1836–1909), which unfortunately represent two different species; L. Sodiro 112 (BR) = Cyrtochilum angustatum (Lindl.) Dalström (Fig.4), and L. Sodiro 23b (Fig. 5–7) represents what has long been known as Odontoglossum and later Cyrtochilum ramosissimum in horticulture and various literature (Bockemühl 1989, Dalström 2001, 2010). After more detailed analysis of type specimens and other collections it has become clear that L. Sodiro 23b, which is distributed from Colombia to central Peru, in fact represents a distinct species that is different from the typical C. ramosissimum, which is only reported from Venezuela. The main difference being that the basal and ventral flanks of the column lay on the outside of the basal lip callus in O. sodiroi, versus being held between the basal callus keels in C. ramosissimum.
**Figure 1.** *Cyrtochilum ramosissimum* (Lindl.) Dalström. A. Lip column lateral view. B. Lip dorsal view. C. Flower dissected. Drawn from *N. Funck & L. J. Schlim 1041* (W) by Stig Dalström.

**Figure 2.** *Cyrtochilum ramosissimum*. Plant from Venezuela. Photo by Carlos Jerez.
The basal and dominating callus keels in *O. sodiroi* emerge abruptly near the base of the lip and are perfectly smooth and rounded (Fig. 6–7), as opposed to emerging more gradually at a short distance from the base of the lip and being more or less denticulate near the apex in *C. ramosissimum*. But because *L. Sodiro 112* is listed first in Schlechter’s description it was originally considered by Dalström (2001, 2010) that it would represent the type of *O. sodiroi*, which therefore constitutes a synonym of *C. angustatum*. The *L. Sodiro 23b* specimen would then become a new species. But Schlechter mentions in the type description that *O. sodiroi* is similar to *O. ramosissimum*, and may be the same thing, but that it differs in the base of the lip not being cordate (heart-shaped), and the callus

→ Right, Figure 3. *Cyrtochilum ramosissimum*. Plant from Venezuela. Photo by Leonore Bockemühl, provided by Karlheinz Senghas.

being glabrous and shaped differently. This is a rather confusing statement because the spreading basal lobes of the lip of the true *C. ramosissimum* from Venezuela are not cordate, but hastate to indistinctly cuneate. The highly variable lip base of what *L. Sodiro 23b* represents in the wild, on the other hand, has spreading side-lobes that can be cordate as well as hastate to cuneate, and is often virtually identical with the illustration of *O. sodiroi* in Schlechter ex Mansfeld (1929) (Fig. 5). The basal lobes of the lip of *C. angustatum* (Figs. 4, 9), on the other hand, are erect and fused to the lip callus and structurally very different from both *L. Sodiro 23b* and *C. ramosissimum*. The lip callus is also pubescent as opposed to glabrous for the other two. It is therefore unclear why Schlechter mentions that the callus of *O. sodiroi* differs from *C. ramosissimum* by not having a cordate lip base (which *L. Sodiro 23b* in fact sometimes has, but not so for *C. ramosissimum*). Schlechter also points out that the lip callus for his “*O. sodiroi*” is glabrous thus suggesting that the lip callus of *C. ramosissimum* is not, when in fact they both have glabrous lip calli. The only member of this triad that has a pubescent lip callus is *C. angustatum* (hence *L. Sodiro 112*). In order to straighten out this confusion it seems therefore reasonable and pragmatic to accept *L. Sodiro 23b* as what Schlechter envisioned as being *O. sodiroi* and treat it as a distinct species that needs to be transferred to *Cyrtochilum*. The name “*sodiroi*” has been used in publications for this taxon (Dodson & Dodson 1984), and is currently becoming more and more used by people, so it is proposed here to attach this name permanently for this species. The transfer to *Cyrtochilum* is made below.

In the synopsis of the genus *Cyrtochilum* (Dalström 2001) it is mentioned that *Odontoglossum amphiglottis* (Fig. 8, 10) differs from *C. ramosissimum* by a smaller habit with fewer flowers on a shorter inflorescence, differences which do not justify specific status. This statement was based on studies of the poor and insignificant type specimen of *O. amphiglottis* in the Museum of Natural History in Vienna (*B. Rözl s.n. W*). However, this statement could not be farther from the truth. Recent observations of living plants in the field by Fernando Ramírez, Esteban Domínguez, Sebastián Moreno, Sebastián Vieira and others show a very large and sturdy-looking plant that displays the distinguishing floristic features of *O. amphiglottis*, and is also coming from the same general area as the type collection (Fig. 10). The straight and erect corymbose inflorescence of *O. amphiglottis* differs from the generally laxly arching and loosely branched inflorescence of the sympatric *O. sodiroi* (Fig. 11). The flowers of the former appear to be consistently rosy purple, while the flowers of the latter vary considerably from white to pale yellow with purple to brown spots and stripes (Fig. 7, 11–12). It is therefore concluded here that these species represent distinct taxa, and that they both need to be authoritatively transferred to *Cyrtochilum*, which is made below.
Figure 7. Cyrtochilum sodiroi (Schltr.) Dalström. Plant cultivated and photographed by Guido Deburghgraeve (G. Deburghgraeve 410).

**Taxonomic Treatment**

*Cyrtochilum amphiglottis* (Rehb.f.) Dalström, *combr. nov*. *Odontoglossum amphiglottis* Rehb.f., *Linnaea* 41. 1877. TYPE: Colombia, Medellin, B. Rözl s.n. (holotype: W). Fig. 8, 10.


The Biblioteca Ecuatoriana Aurelio Espinosa Pölit (QPLS) in Quito, Ecuador, hosts a number of Sodiro’s specimens, which have not been seen by the author. If an isotype of *Sodiro 23 b* would possibly be found there, this would supersede the present lectotypification.
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Figure 8. Cyrtochilum amphiglottis (Rchb.f.) Dalström. A. Flower with right petal removed, lateral view. B. Flower dissected. C. Column lateral view. D. Column ventral view. E. Pollinarium front-angled and back-angled views. F. Column lip lateral view. Drawn from Schmidtchen s.n. (W) by Stig Dalström. G. Lip column lateral view. Drawn from B. Rözl s.n. (W) by Stig Dalström.
Figure 10. Plant of *Cyrtochilum amphiglottis* (Rchb.f.) Dalström, from the natural habitat in the department of Antioquia, Colombia. Photo by Esteban Dominguez.

Figure 11. *Cyrtochilum sodiroi* (Schltr.) Dalström. Plant cultivated and photographed by Jan Sönnemark.

Figure 12. *Cyrtochilum sodiroi* (Schltr.) Dalström. Flower photographed by Gilberto Escobar (*G. Escobar 809*).


