THE DETERMINANT OF MATCHING MATRIX IN THE EVALUATION OF MATCHING POLYNOMIAL

SHANAZ A. WAHID*

Received: 29 May 2001

Abstract

A characterization is given for graphs whose matching polynomial is the determinant of their matching matrices. The matching matrix is then modified and its relation with other graph polynomials is examined.
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1 Introduction and basic definitions

The graphs considered here will be finite, loopless and can have multiple edges unless otherwise stated. Let $G$ be such a graph with $p$ nodes and $q$ edges. We define a matching $M$ in $G$ to be a spanning subgraph whose components are nodes and edges only. If $M$ has $k$ edges, then $M$ is called a $k$-matching.

Let us assign weights $w_1$ and $w_2$ to each node and edge respectively in $G$. $w$ is the vector $(w_1, w_2)$. If $a_k$ is the number of $k$-matchings in $G$, then the total weight of a $k$-matching is $a_k w_1^{p-2k} w_2^{k}$. The matching polynomial of $G$ is $M(G; w) = \sum_{k=0}^{|p/2|} a_k w_1^{p-2k} w_2^{k}$. An unwanted matching term is an expression of the form $a_k w_1^{p-2k} w_2^{k}$ but does not correspond to a matching of $G$. $U(G, w)$ is the sum of all such terms. This can arise in a
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determinant expansion. The standard graph theoretical definitions are found in Harary [6].

$G_{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n}$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by removing the set of nodes $v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n$. Also $G-e$ is the graph obtained form $G$ by removing edge $e$. $S_p$ is the symmetric group on $p$ elements. The following definitions can be found in Farrell and Wahid [3]. The matching matrix of a labelled graph is the $p \times p$ matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})$, where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{k}w_2 & \text{if } \exists k \text{ edges between nodes } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ with } i < j \\ -\sqrt{k}w_2 & \text{if } \exists k \text{ edges between nodes } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ with } i > j \\ 0 & \text{if nodes } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are not adjacent} \\ w_1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

A $D$-graph of $G$ is a graph $D(G)$ such that $M(G, w) = |A(D(G))|$. The $d$-function of $G$ is the function defined on $A(G)$ as follows:

(i) If $G$ is the null graph, then $d(A(G)) = 1$

(ii) $d(A(G)) = |A(G)|$ if $0 < p \leq 3$.

(iii) $d(A(G)) = w_1 d(A(G - v_i)) + w_2 \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} d(A(G - v_i v_j))$, for $p > 3$.

2 Preliminary results

The following result gives a reduction algorithm for finding $M(G; w)$.

**Lemma 1**

$$M(G; w) = M(G - e_{ij}; w) + w_2 M(G - \{v_i,v_j\}; w).$$

**Proof.** This is proved by considering the matchings of $G$ which do not have the edge $e_{ij}$ or which must have the edge $e_{ij}$. $\blacksquare$

The following result is the determinant expansion $|A(G)|$ in terms of permutations.

**Lemma 2** $|A(G)| = \sum_{\sigma_i \in S_p} w(\sigma_i) e(\sigma_i)$ where $w(\sigma_i)$ is the weight of the cycle $\sigma_i$ and $e(\sigma_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma_i \text{ is an even permutation} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma_i \text{ is an odd permutation} \end{cases}$

**Proof.** This is straightforward. $\blacksquare$

The following result is obtained by applying a function to the different sets of objects that are described by the principle of inclusion and exclusion.

**Lemma 3**

$$f(T(1',2',\ldots,n')) = f(T) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(T_i) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} f(T_{i,j}) - \ldots + (-1)^n f(T_{1,2,\ldots,n})$$
Proof. Let $b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ be properties that are defined on the elements of a set. The principle of Inclusion and Exclusion states that

$$N(b'_1b'_2\cdots b'_n) = N - \sum_{i=1}^{n} N(b_i) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} N(b_ib_j) - \ldots + (-1)^n N(b_1b_2\ldots b_n).$$

In using the principle of Inclusion and Exclusion we define a function $f$ on each set of objects that are enumerated. $T$ is the universal set with respect to the properties that are defined. The set whose elements have the properties $b_i$ and $b_j$ say is denoted by $T_{i,j}$ and the set whose elements have none of the $n$ properties $b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ is denoted by $T(1', 2', \ldots, n')$.

Thus $f(T_i) = \sum_{\alpha_i \in T_i} f(\alpha_i)$. After applying $f$ to the statement of the principle we get

$$f(T(1', 2', \ldots, n')) = f(T) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(t_i) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{n} f(T_{i,j}) - \ldots + (-1)^n f(T_{1,2,\ldots,n}).$$

3 The main results

The following result gives $|A(G)|$ in terms of matching terms and unwanted matching terms.

Theorem 1

$$|A(G)| = M(G, \underline{w}) + U(G, \underline{w}).$$

Proof. From Lemma 2, the elements of $S_p$ are permutations which are written as products of disjoint cycles $\sigma_i$. An edge $e_{ij}$ of $G$ gives rise to a cycle $(ij)$ with weight $w_{ij} = (\sqrt{w_1} - \sqrt{w_2})^2$. Generally a $k$-matching corresponds to different collections of $k$ disjoint transpositions. The resulting matching term is $(-w_2)^k(-1)^kw_{1}^{p-2k}$, i.e. $w_{2}^{k}w_{1}^{p-2k}$ for one of these combinations. Let us consider the product of two cycles which are not transpositions $i.e. (i_{1}i_{2}\ldots i_{k-r})(i_{k-r+1}i_{k-r+2}\ldots i_{k+r})$. The weight is $(\pm 1)(\sqrt{w_2})^{k-r}(\sqrt{w_2})^{k-r}$. This is $\pm w_{2}^{k}w_{1}^{p-2k}$ and is a term of $U(G, \underline{w})$. The result follows.

The $G$ has no circuits, then Theorem 1 reduces to the following result.

Corollary 2 If $G$ has no circuits, then $|A(G)| = M(G, \underline{w})$.

Proof. If $G$ has no circuits, then there will be no contributing cycles of length greater than 2 which may give rise to unwanted matching terms. The result follows.

The following result shows that there is no unwanted term for each cycle having odd length.

Lemma 4 If $G$ is circuit with $p$ being an odd integer, then $|A(G)| = M(G, \underline{w})$. 
Proof. We show that the weights of the proper cycles of odd length cancel. Let \( \sigma = (i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{p-1} i_p) \) be proper cycle where \( p \) is odd with weight \( w_2^{p/2} \). In \( \sigma \) we have \( i_1 \rightarrow i_2, i_2 \rightarrow i_3, i_3 \rightarrow i_4, \ldots, i_{p-1} \rightarrow i_p \) and \( i_p \rightarrow i_1 \). Now in \( \sigma^* \) the reverse orientation (same as \( \sigma^{-1} \)), the cycle is \((i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{p-1} i_2 i_1)\). In \( \sigma^{-1} \) we have \( i_1 \rightarrow i_p, i_p \rightarrow i_{p-1}, \ldots, i_3 \rightarrow i_2 \) and \( i_2 \rightarrow i_1 \). The associated weight is now \((-1)^p w_2^{p/2} \). Hence the terms cancel and the result follows.

The following result is immediate.

**Theorem 3** If the circuits of \( G \) all have odd length, then \( |A(G)| = M(G, w) \).

Proof. This follows by the use of Lemma 4.

The following result gives the unwanted matching terms in an even circuit.

**Lemma 5** If \( G \) is a circuit with \( p \) being even, then \( |A(G)| = M(G, w) = 2w_2^{p/2} \).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4. In this case the weight of the reverse orientation of \( \sigma \) is also \((-1)^p w_2^{p/2} \). Clearly the sign of \( \sigma^* \) and \( \sigma \) is the same and thus the terms do not cancel. The contribution of even cycles is either \( 2w_2^{p/2} \) or \(-2w_2^{p/2} \). ■

The following result gives the expansion of \( M(G; w) \) involving the unwanted term for each even circuit.

**Theorem 4** Let \( C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n \) be the even circuits of a labeled graph \( G \) on at least 4 nodes. Then

\[
M(G) = |A(G)| - \sum_{C_i \in G} |A(G - C_i; w)|U(C_i) + \sum_{C_i, C_j \in G} |A(G - C_i - C_j; w)|U(C_i)U(C_j) \\
+ \ldots + \sum_{C_i \in G} (-1)^i |A(G - C_{i_1} - C_{i_2} - \ldots - C_{i_t}; w) |
\]

where we sum over sets of disjoint circuits \( C_i \) in \( G \).

Proof. We use the principle of Inclusion and Exclusion in the manner described in Lemma 3. In \( G \) for an even value of \( r \), there may be more than one circuit on \( r \) nodes with \( 4 \leq r \leq p \). Let \( b_i \) be the property that either cycle \( \pi_i \) or \( \pi^{-1}_i \) corresponding to the labelled even circuit \( C_i \) is a factor in the decomposition of elements \( S_p \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). \( T_i = \{ \alpha_i : \alpha_i \in S_p \text{ and has } \pi_i \text{ or } \pi^{-1}_i \text{ as a factor} \} \). Let \( \alpha \in T_i \). We define the function \( f \) such that \( f(\alpha_i) \) = weight of \( \alpha_i \times \text{sign of } \alpha_i \). Now from lemma 5, both \( \pi_i \) and \( \pi^{-1}_i \) have the same weight. Thus \( f(\alpha_i) = 2 \times \text{(weight of } \pi_i \times \text{(weight of remaining factors)} \times \text{sign of } \alpha_i) \).

But weight of \( \pi_i \) is \( U(C_i) \). The weight of remaining factors times sign of \( \alpha_i \) are obtained by considering the associated matrix of \( GC_i \) and its resulting determinant.

Thus \( f(T_i) = \sum_{\alpha_i \in T_i} f(\alpha_i) = |A(G - C_i)|U(C_i) \).

Therefore \( \sum_{i=1}^n f(T_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n |A(G - C_i)|U(C_i) \).

The set \( T \) is \( S_p \) and \( f(T) = |A(G)| \). \( T_{i,j} = \{ \beta_i : \beta_i \in S_p \text{ and } \beta_i \text{ has } \sigma_i \text{ or } \sigma^{-1}_i \text{ as factors} \} \). \( f(\beta_i) = 2 \times \text{(weight } \sigma_i \times \text{weight } \sigma_j \times \text{weight of remaining factors)} \times \text{sign } \beta_i \).

From Lemma 5, this gives \( U(C_i)U(C_j) \times \text{weight of remaining factors} \times \text{sign } \beta_i \).

We thus remove the two disjoint circuits \( C_i \) and \( C_j \) from \( G \) and find determinant of the
associated matrix i.e $|A(G - C_i - C_j)|$.
Thus $\sum_{i \neq j}^n f(T_{i,j}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}^n |A(G - C_i - C_j)|U(C_i)U(C_j)$.
The other terms on the right hand side are found likewise.

$T(1', 2', \ldots, n')$ is the set of permutations of $S_p$ which do not have even cycles (expect two cycles) as factors. This consists of odd cycles of length $k$ where $k > 3$, one cycle and two cycles. By Lemma 4, there are no unwanted matching terms and thus $f(T(1', 2', \ldots, n')) = M(G, w)$. The result follows on adding the respective terms.

**An illustration**

We illustrate the result of Theorem 3. Let

$$G = \begin{array}{c|c|c}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
4 & 5 & 6 \\
\end{array}$$

be the following labeled graph and its associated matrix i.e

$$\sum_{i < j} A(G - C_i - C_j)$$

Thus $f$ cycles. By Lemma 4, there are no unwanted matching terms and thus $f(T(1', 2', \ldots, n')) = M(G, w)$.

The following table gives the elements of $S_6$ and their weights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permutation</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>$w_1^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 transpositions</td>
<td>$7w_2w_1^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 sets of cycles of form (12)(34)</td>
<td>$11w_2^2w_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 sets of cycles of form (12)(34)(56)</td>
<td>$3w_3^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1256), (1652), (2345), (2543)</td>
<td>$4w_2^2w_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1256), (34), (1652)(34), (2345)(16), (2543)(16)</td>
<td>$4w_2^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(123456), (165432)</td>
<td>$2w_3^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The even cycles are $C_1 = (1256)$, $C_2 = (2345)$ and $C_3 = (123456)$. The total weights of the permutations listed in first four rows is $w_1^6 + 7w_2w_1^4 + 11w_2^2w_1^2 + 3w_3^2$. This is $M(G, w)$. The total weights of the other permutations is $4w_2^2w_1^2 + 6w_2^2$. This is $U(G, w)$. Now

$|A(G, w)| = w_1^6 + 7w_2w_1^4 + 15w_2^2w_1^2 + 9w_3^2$. Also $U(C_1) = U(C_2) = 2w_2^2$ and $U(C_3) = 2w_2^2$.

Also $|A(G - C_1); w| = |A(G - C_2); w| = w_1^4 + w_2$ and $|A(G - C_3), w| = 1$. We substitute into Theorem 3 to get

$$(w_1^6 + 7w_2w_1^4 + 15w_2^2w_1^2 + 9w_3^2) - 2w_2^2(w_1^2 + w_2) - 2w_2^2(w_1^2 + w_2) - 2w_2^2.$$

This is $M(G, w)$.


4 Connections with other graph polynomials

The characteristic polynomial $\Phi(B)$ of a simple graph $G$ is defined as the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix $B$ of $G$, i.e. $|xI - B|$, where $I$ is the identity matrix and $B = (b_{ij})$ is defined as follows:

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if nodes } i \text{ and } j \text{ are adjacent with } i < j \\
-1 & \text{if nodes } i \text{ and } j \text{ are adjacent with } i > j \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$

It is well known that for a tree $T$ that the matching polynomial $M(T; w')$ where $w' = (x, -1)$, i.e. the acyclic polynomial $\alpha(G, x)$ coincides with the characteristic polynomial $\Phi(T; x)$ (see Godsil and Gutman, [4], [5]).

The following result is taken from [4] and Cvetkovic, Doob and Sachs, see [1],[2].

$$\alpha(G, x) = \Phi(B) + 2 \sum_i \alpha(G - C_i; x) - 2^2 \sum_{i < j} \alpha(G - C_i - C_j; x) + \ldots + (-1)^{t-1} 2^t \sum \alpha(G - C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - \ldots - C_t; x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

for node disjoint circuits $C_i$ of length ($> 2$) in $G$.

In this paper, we modify the definition of $A(G)$ to $\hat{A}(G) = (\hat{a}_{ij})$ expressed in terms of complex numbers to facilitate the conversion of $M(G, w)$ to $\alpha(G, x)$, as follows:

$$\hat{a}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
i\sqrt{k} & \text{if } \exists k \text{ edges between nodes } i \text{ and } j \text{ with } i < j \\
-i\sqrt{k} & \text{if } \exists k \text{ edges between nodes } i \text{ and } j \text{ with } i > j \\
0 & \text{otherwise.} 
\end{cases}$$

The following result is immediate.

**Lemma 6** The characteristic polynomial of $\hat{A}(G)$, i.e. $\Phi(\hat{A}(G))$ is the same as $\Phi(-\hat{A}(G))$ and is equal to the acyclic polynomial $\alpha(G, x)$.

**Proof.** Now $\Phi(\hat{A}(G))$ is $|xI - \hat{A}(G)|$. Also $\Phi(\hat{A}(G))$ is the same as $|A(D(G))|$, where $A(D(G))$ is the matching matrix of $D(G)$. Then we replace $w_1$ by $x$ and $w_2$ by $1$ in the result $|A(D(G))|$.

In order to convert to the acyclic polynomial from $|A(D(G))|$ we place $x$’s on the leading diagonal and replace $\sqrt{w_2}$ by complex number $i$. But this resulting determinant is $\Phi(-\hat{A}(G))$. It should be noted that the transpose of $\Phi(-\hat{A}(G))$ is $\Phi(\hat{A}(G))$. The result follows. \rule{1ex}{1ex}

The following theorem gives the conversion of Equation (1) above by the use of the matrix $\hat{A}(G)$.

**Theorem 5** Let $G$ be a labeled graph without even circuits. Then

$$\Phi(\hat{A}(G)) = \Phi(B) + 2 \sum_i \Phi(\hat{A}(G - C_i)) - 2^2 \sum_i \Phi(\hat{A}(G - C_i - C_j)) + \ldots + (-1)^{t-1} 2^t \sum \Phi(\hat{A}(G - C_1 - C_2 - C_3 - \ldots - C_t));$$

where $C_i$ are disjoint odd circuits of length greater than 2.
PROOF. Now if $G$ has no even circuits, then $G$ is a $D$-graph. Also $\Phi(\hat{A}(G))$ is obtained from $M(G, \underline{w})$ on replacing $w_1$ by $x$ and $w_2$ by $-1$. This is exactly how $\alpha(G, x)$ is obtained from $M(G, \underline{w})$. Thus we replace appropriately in Equation (1). ■

It is interesting to note that $\alpha(G, x)$ can now be written only as determinants for certain graphs. The following theorem shows how $\alpha(G, x)$ is written as the sum of two characteristic polynomials.

**Theorem 6** Let $G$ be a graph without when circuits and $B$ its adjacency matrix. Then

$$2\alpha(G, x) = \Phi(B) + \Phi(-B).$$

**Proof.** We replace every term except $\Phi(B)$ in Theorem 4 by the acyclic polynomial since $G$ is a $D$-Graph. This gives equation (1) above. A relation between $\alpha(G, x)$ and $\Phi(-B)$ is obtained using Lemma 3. In this case we let $b_i$ be the property that either cycle $\pi_i$ or $\pi_i^{-1}$ corresponding to the labeled odd circuit $C_i$ in $G$ is a factor in the decomposition of element of $S_p$, the other factors being one and/or two cycles. Since $B$ is symmetric then $\pi_i$ or $\pi_i^{-1}$ have the same weight.

The rest of proof is similar to that of Theorem 3. We finally get

$$\alpha(G, x) = \Phi(-B) - 2 \sum_i \alpha(G - c_i; x) + 2^2 \sum_{i<j} \alpha(G - c_i - c_j; x)$$

$$+ \ldots + (-2)^t \sum \alpha(G - c_1 - c_2 - c_3 - \ldots - c_t; x)$$

(2)

for node disjoint circuits of length greater than 2 in $G$. The result follows by adding Equations (1) and (2). ■

We now examine a modification of $A(G)$ which leads to a different form of the unwanted terms.

### 5 Modification of the matching matrix

We define a new matrix $A^*(G) = (a^*_{ij})$ as follows:

$$(a^*_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{kw_{i,j}} & \text{if } \exists \text{ edges between nodes } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ with } i < j \\
-\sqrt{kw_{i,j}} & \text{if } \exists \text{ edges between nodes } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ with } i > j \\
0 & \text{if } \text{nodes } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are not adjacent} \\
w_1 & \text{if } i = j
\end{cases}$$

The following result is immediate.

**Theorem 7** Let $A^*(G)$ be as defined above. Then $|A^*(G)| = M(G, \underline{w}) + R(G, \underline{w})$; where $R(G, \underline{w})$ are the terms with square root signs.

**Proof.** This matrix has the same form as $A(G)$. Thus by Theorem 2, all graphs without proper even circuits i.e. $D$-graphs are such that $M(G) = |A^*(G)|$. The contribution of even circuits is different. If $\sigma = (i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{r-1} i_r)$ is an even cycle associated with an even circuit is $G$, then in the determinant, we get the term

$$\alpha = \sqrt{w_{i_1 i_2}} \sqrt{w_{i_2 i_3}} \sqrt{w_{i_3 i_4}} \cdots \sqrt{w_{i_r i_1}} \times (-1)^r$$
where \( i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_r \). Now \( \sigma^{-1} = (i_1i_r i_{r-1} \ldots i_3i_2) \). The associated weight in determinant is also \( \alpha \). Thus an even circuit in \( G \) contributes \( 2\alpha \). The transposition \((i_xi_y)\) gives a weight \( \sqrt{w_{i_x}w_{i_y}} \), i.e. \( w_{i_x}w_{i_y} \). Combinations of such terms have no square root signs and one can easily distinguish the matching terms.

6 Discussion

The problem of finding a D-graph for a graph \( G \) is essentially unsolved. However, a characterization of graphs without even cycles has been obtained. The fact that a determinant is used to find the matching polynomial shows that methods of Linear Algebra should be used in investigating properties of the polynomial. Different proofs may be possible for results on acyclic polynomial. For given graphs, if there exists recursive relations for acyclic polynomials then it is possible to find the eigenvalues of \( \Phi(B) \) without actually finding \( \Phi(B) \).
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