

Seasonality of vespid species (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) in a central Brazilian cerrado

Ivone Rezende Diniz¹ and Kiniti Kitayama¹

¹ Depto. de Zoologia, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade de Brasília, 70910-900 BrasíliaDF, Brasil. E-mail: zoo@guarany.unb.br

Received 31-X-1996. Corrected 23-X-1997. Accepted 03-XI-1997

Abstract: Foraging habitats as well as the fluctuations in vespid species' abundance in Mato Grosso State, Brazil, were determined, through sampling effort per hour per collector in four cerrado *sensu lato* habitats, "campo úmido" (Cu), "campo sujo" (Cs), "cerrado *sensu stricto*" (Css), and "gallery forest" (Mg), during four periods consisting of two dry (August 1988 and June 1989) and two wet (October and December 1988) season periods. For "campo úmido", some species seem to be highly seasonal, either in the dry or wet seasons, whereas very few species occur year-round. The abundance, species composition and richness varied between habitats types and between seasons.

Key words: Vespidae, foraging habitats, seasonality, cerrado, Brazil.

There is much evidence suggesting that seasonal fluctuations in insect abundance are commonplace in the tropics. Previous studies in tropical regions have shown an increase in insect abundance from the dry season to the wet season (e.g. Wolda 1978, Tanaka & Tanaka 1982, Boinski & Scott 1988, Frith & Frith 1990). The major exceptions include some adult lepidopteran, bee, and Thysanoptera species (Owen & Chanter 1970, Heithaus 1979, Boinski & Scott 1988, Tanaka & Tanaka 1982).

Taxonomically, the Vespidae of central Brazil are relatively well known, mainly because of the works done by Fox in 1898 who described 10 species, and of Richards (1978) who registered most vespid species (85) of central Brazil. However, their ecology and life histories are still very limited (Henriques *et al.* 1992, Ramos & Diniz 1993, Diniz & Kitayama 1994). Species seasonal composition for any animal group of the cerrado are also very poor known (Price *et al.* 1995).

The present work discusses the foraging habitats of vespid species and their seasonal activity in the Brazilian central cerrado at Chapada dos Guimarães, in Mato Grosso, during two dry and wet seasons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The work was carried out in Chapada dos Guimarães (155°30' W; 14°52' S, - Mato Grosso State in central Brazil) in four habitat types of cerrado *sensu lato* vegetation. They included: a wet grassland (campo úmido - Cu), a scattered shrub area (campo sujo - Cs), a sparse shrubs and trees (cerrado *sensu stricto* - Css), and a gallery forest (Mata de galeria - Mg). All these varieties of cerrado (savanna) habitats have been detailed elsewhere (e.g. Eiten 1972, Diniz & Kitayama 1994).

The region of cerrado is characterized by a wet season of five to seven months, with an average

precipitation of around 200 mm/month, and a long dry season of about five months, from May to September, with a mean monthly precipitation of less than 30 mm.

Vespid species were collected during four series of collecting trips; the first three occurred in 1988, in August (end of dry season), in October (beginning of wet season), and in December (middle of wet season). The last one occurred in June 1989 (beginning of dry season). In each habitat type a small area was selected of one to three ha in size for collecting wasps using an insect net. Each sampling period consisted of four hours of collection per collector, between seven to 11 a.m. The total sample size for each habitat type was variable, with four hr in gallery forest, 12 hr in "campo sujo" and 16 hr in both "cerrado *sensu stricto*" and "campo úmido".

Voucher vespid species are deposited in the Entomology Collection of the Zoology Department of the University of Brasília.

RESULTS

Thirty six vespid species of the 50 sampled were collected in the four habitat types and used

in the present work (Table 1). *Polybia sericea* (Olivier), *P. ruficeps* Schrotty, *P. liliacea* (F), *Synoeca surinama* (L), *Mischocyttarus drewseni* de Saussure and *Polistes subsericius* de Saussure are the most abundant species in this region. *Polistes liliaciosus* de Saussure, known as an amazonian wasp, was registered for the first time in this region (Table 1).

Thirteen species (35.2%) were restricted to one habitat type. "Campo úmido" and gallery forest were the habitats where a larger number of habitat-restricted species were encountered, but with a low number of individuals. Fifteen species (40.5%) were collected in two habitat types, 18.9% in three, and 5.4% in four habitats (Table 1).

Fluctuations in abundance and species richness between seasons was determined only for those wasps collected in "campo úmido" due to the constancy of sample collections during the four sample periods. Four vespid species were collected only once, and during the wet season. Seven species were collected during both seasons, but the number of individuals collected was larger during the wet season than in the dry season (Table 2).

TABLE 1

Number of vespid species, and their occurrence in four habitat types in Mato Grosso state (Brazil)

| Vespid species | Habitat types | | | | total | H |
|--|---------------|-----|----|----|-------|---|
| | Cu | Css | Cs | Mg | | |
| <i>Brachygastra augustii</i> (de Saussure), 1854 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 2 |
| <i>B. bilineolata</i> Spinola, 1841 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| <i>B. lecheguana</i> (Latreille), 1824 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| <i>Chartegellus communis</i> Richards, 1978 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Chartergus chartarius</i> (Olivier), 1791 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| <i>Epipona tatusa</i> (Curvier), 1797 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 2 |
| <i>Mischocyttarus cerberus</i> Ducke, 1918 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| <i>M. drewseni</i> de Saussure, 1857 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1 |

| Vespid species | Habitat types | | | | total | H |
|--|---------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---|
| | Cu | Css | Cs | Mg | | |
| <i>M. rotundicolis</i> (Cameron), 1912 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 2 |
| <i>M. matogrossoensis</i> Zikán, 1935 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 3 |
| <i>Parachartegus fraternus</i> (Gribodo), 1892 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 14 | 3 |
| <i>P. smithii</i> (de Saussure), 1854 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Polistes canadensis</i> (L.) 1804 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 3 |
| <i>P. billardieri</i> F. 1804 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| <i>P. geminatus</i> Fox, 1898 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| <i>P. subsericus</i> de Saussure, 1854 | 47 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 53 | 3 |
| <i>P. thoracicus</i> Fox, 1898 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Polybia jurinei</i> de Saussure, 1854 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| <i>P. dimidiata</i> (Olivier), 1791 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 2 |
| <i>P. quadricincta</i> de Saussure, 1854 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| <i>P. erythrothorax</i> Richards, 1978 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 3 |
| <i>P. flavifrons</i> F. Smith, 1857 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| <i>P. occidentalis</i> Olivier, 1791 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| <i>P. ruficeps</i> Schrottky, 1902 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 31 | 3 |
| <i>P. liliacea</i> (F.) 1804 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 21 | 28 | 3 |
| <i>P. chrysothorax</i> (Lichtenstein), 1796 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>P. ignobilis</i> (Holiday), 1791 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 2 |
| <i>P. sericea</i> (Olivier), 1791 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 22 | 4 |
| <i>Protopolybia exigua</i> (de Saussure), 1854 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| <i>Pseudopolybia compressa</i> (de Saussure), 1854 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Stelopolybia flavipennis</i> (Ducke), 1905 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| <i>S. lobipleura</i> Richards, 1987 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| <i>S. multipicta</i> (Holiday), 1836 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
| <i>S. myrmecophila</i> (Ducke), 1905 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| <i>S. pallipes</i> (Olivier), 1791 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Synoeca surinama</i> (L.), 1767 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 4 |
| Total of species and (individuals) | 196 (18) | 56 (20) | 49 (17) | 84 (15) | 385 (36) | |

Cu = campo úmido; Css = cerrado *sensu stricto*; Cs = campo sujo; Mg = mata de galeria (gallery forest); H = number of habitats where wasps were collected.

TABLE 2

Species of vespid collected in campo úmido during four sample periods, and species abundance and richness for each habitat type in Mato Grosso state (Brazil).

| Species of vespid | Aug./88 | Oct./88 | Dec./88 | June/89 | Total |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| <i>Brachygastra augustii</i> (de Saussure), 1854 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| <i>B. bilineolata</i> Spinola, 1841 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| <i>B. lecheguana</i> (Latreille), 1824 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| <i>Mischocyttarus drewseni</i> de Saussure, 1857 | 7 | 6 | 30 | 7 | 50 |
| <i>M. rotundicolis</i> (Cameron), 1912 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 20 |
| <i>M. matogrossoensis</i> Zikán, 1935 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 18 |
| <i>Parachartegus smithii</i> (de Saussure), 1854 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Polistes canadensis</i> (L.) 1804 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 12 |
| <i>P. billardieri</i> F. 1804 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| <i>P. geminatus</i> Fox, 1898 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>P. subsericius</i> de Saussure, 1854 | 1 | 3 | 21 | 22 | 47 |
| <i>Polybia quadricincta</i> de Saussure, 1854 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>P. erythrothorax</i> Richards, 1978 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| <i>P. occidentalis</i> Olivier, 1791 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| <i>P. ruficeps</i> Schrottky, 1902 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| <i>P. sericea</i> (Olivier), 1791 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| <i>Protopolybia exigua</i> (de Saussure), 1854 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| <i>Synoeca surinama</i> (L.), 1767 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Total Cu (16 hours) Species/Specimens | 7/19 | 15/40 | 13/92 | 7/45 | 18/196 |
| Total Cs (12 hours) Species/Specimens | 11/21 | 5/10 | 9/18 | * | 17/49 |
| Total Ccs (12 hours) Species/Specimens | * | 2/24 | 4/6 | 14/26 | 20/56 |
| Total Mg (04 hours) Species/Specimens | 15/84 | * | * | * | 15/84 |

* not sampled; Cu = campo úmido; Ccs = cerrado *sensu stricto*; Cs = campo sujo; Mg = mata de galeria (gallery forest)

At the end of dry season (August), 81.5% of the vespid species were collected in only one habitat, 7.5% in both two and three habitat types. At the beginning of the wet season (October), 68.2% of the species were collected in one habitat, 18.2% in two, and 13.6% species were captured in all three habitats: *M. matogrossoensis*, *Polistes subsericius* and *Polybia sericea*. At the middle of the wet season 73.7% of the species were captured in one habitat, 15.8% in two, and two species, *Polistes canadensis* and *Polistes subsericius*, were collected in all three habitats. At the beginning of the dry season (June), only “campo úmido” and “cerrado *sensu stricto*” were sampled. Seventeen species (89.5%) were collected in one kind of habitat: of these, 63.2% in cerrado *sensu stricto* and 26.3% in “campo úmido”. Two species were collected in both: *Polistes subsericius* and *Polybia ruficeps*. *P. subsericius* occurs in all habitat types while foraging, during all seasons, but its nesting habitat is ‘campo úmido’ where it constructs its nest at the base of the grass (pers. obs.).

Comparing the Shannon diversity index among habitat types and sampling periods, “cerrado *sensu stricto*” presented the highest diversity index during the dry season ($H' = 1.004$ in August 1988) and ($H' = 1.035$ in June 1989) while “campo úmido” at the beginning of the wet season ($H' = 1.080$ in October 1988), and “campo sujo” at the middle of the wet season ($H = 0.849$ in December 1988). The gallery forest presented in the dry season (August) the highest number of species but also the highest abundance what reflected in the diversity index ($H' = 0.058$). Most of the coefficients of similarity (Bray & Curtis) were small (less than 0.10). The highest similarity index was found between “cerrado *sensu stricto*” and “campo sujo” (0.530) at the beginning of wet season (October).

DISCUSSION

The 50 species represent an increase of 39 species if compared with Richards's (1978) numbers for this region (11 species). In spite of

the poor sampling of gallery forest (only four hours - one collector) and the sampling areas and restricted periods, the result may be considered very representative, since 43% of the known species of the whole state were collected.

The results shows that even for vespids, supposedly good flyers, a restriction in habitat occurs (Table 1). Few species that nest in one habitat may hunt their prey or seek water in other habitats. Such exceptions include *Mischocyttarus matogrossoensis* Zikán, *Polistes canadensis* (L), and *P. subsericius* which nest in “campo úmido” (Diniz & Kitayama 1994) and forage in cerrado *sensu stricto* and “campo sujo”; *Polybia sericea*, which nests in cerrado *sensu stricto*, and *Synoeca surinama*, which nests in gallery forest, and were found foraging in all four habitats studied. Indeed, these last two wasp species are large and robust, therefore they can fly a longer distance from their nests. *Mischocyttarus drewseni* was captured only in its nesting habitat (Table 1).

The abundance and species richness in the dry season in “campo úmido” (64 individuals of 11 species) were much smaller than that found during the wet season (132 individuals of 18 species) (Table 2). Similar results were obtained for other tropical regions (e. g. Tanaka & Tanaka 1982, Boinski & Scott 1988).

Gallery forest appears to be the most favorable habitat for wasps during the dry season, with larger numbers of species (63%) and individuals (70%). This result agrees with the argument that gallery forest “act as nucleus for re-colonization of deciduous habitat” (Forsyth 1980). Foraging habitats seem to vary between dry and wet seasons. Indeed, at least in “campo úmido”, during the wet season, the number of species and specimens captured was as much as twice that which occurred during the dry season.

The results show evidence of the extreme importance of the moist habitats, for the maintenance of vespid colonies during the long dry season in the “cerrado” area. Therefore, is it necessary to preserve all kinds of habitats in the

cerrado, mainly due to the faunal spatial and temporal variation, and especially because many species use only a specific habitat for nesting, usually which is more restricted than its foraging habitat.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was financed by the electrical Brazilian company (Eletronorte). We are grateful to Regina Macedo (University of Brasília), and two reviewers of the Revista de Biologia Tropical for important suggestions to improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Boinski, S. & P. E. Scott. 1988. Association of birds with monkeys in Costa Rica. *Biotropica* 20: 138-143.
- Diniz, I. R. & K. Kitayama. 1994. Colony densities and preferences for nest habitats of some social wasps in Mato Grosso State, Brazil (Hymenoptera Vespidae). *J. Hym. Res.* 3: 133-143.
- Eiten, G. 1972. The Cerrado vegetation of Brazil. *Bot. Rev.* 38: 201-341.
- Forsyth, A. 1980. Nest site and habitat selection by the social wasp, *Metapolybia azteca* Araujo (Hymenoptera: Vespidae) *Brenesia* 17: 157-162.
- Frith, D. & C. Frith. 1990. Seasonality of litter invertebrate populations in an Australian upland tropical rain forest. *Biotropica* 22: 181-190.
- Heithaus, E. R. 1979. Community of neotropical flower visiting bees and wasps: diversity and phenology. *Ecology* 60: 190-202.
- Henriques, R. P. B., I. R. Diniz & K. Kitayama. 1992. Nest density of some social wasp species in cerrado vegetation of central Brazil (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). *Entomol. Gen.* 17: 265-268.
- Owen, D. F. & D. O. Chanter. 1972. Species diversity and seasonal abundance in *Charaxes* butterflies (Nymphalidae). *J. Entomol.* 46: 135-143.
- Price, P. W., I. R. Diniz, H. C. Morais & E. S. Marques. 1995. The abundance of insect herbivore species in the tropics: The high richness of rare species. *Biotropica* 27: 468-478.
- Ramos, F. A. & I. R. Diniz. 1993. Seasonal cycles, survivorship and growth of colonies of *Polistes versicolor* (Hymenoptera-Vespidae) in the urban area of Brasília - Brazil. *Entomologist* 112: 191-200.
- Richards, O. W. 1978. The social wasps of the Americas. British Museum of Natural History. London 580 p.
- Tanaka, L. K. & S. K. Tanaka. 1982. Rainfall and seasonal changes in Arthropoda abundance on a tropical oceanic island. *Biotropica* 14: 114-123.
- Wolda, H. 1978. Seasonal fluctuations in rainfall, food and abundance of tropical insects. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 47: 369-381.