Terrestrial Isopoda (Crustacea, Oniscidea) from the coasts of Costa Rica, with descriptions of three new species
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Abstract: Seven species of terrestrial isopods are recorded from the coasts of the Pacific and Caribbean sides of Costa Rica. Three species (Buchnerillo neotropicalis, Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis and Trichorhina biocellata) are described as new and two species (Tylos niveus and Armadilloniscus cf. caraibicus) are newly recorded from the country. The poorly known species T. niveus is also illustrated. At present the total number of terrestrial isopod species recorded from Costa Rica is 30. Interestingly four typical littoral halophilic species (Ligia baudiniana, Tylos wegeneri, T. niveus and A. cf. caraibicus) are present on both the Pacific coast of Costa Rica and on the coasts of the lands encompassed by the Caribbean Sea. With the sole exception of A. cf. caraibicus, no morphological differences could be detected from the Pacific and Caribbean populations of those species. Rev. Biol. Trop. 66(Suppl. 1): S187-S210. Epub 2018 April 01.
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Up to date, the diversity of Costa Rican terrestrial isopods is poorly known. Only 25 species in 16 genera and 10 families are presently recorded, numbers that are certainly very small for a tropical country like Costa Rica. Many records are very old, mainly dated in the first half of 1900 (Richardson, 1910, 1913; Arcangeli, 1927, 1930, 1957; Van Name, 1936), while only the families Philosciidae and Scleropactidae have been recently revised by Leistikow (1997a, 1997b, 1998, 2000a, b, 2001) and Schmidt (2007). However, all the records come from sporadic collections. Only few records are known for most of the forested areas and only two species (Ligia baudiniana Milne Edwards, 1840 and Tylos wegeneri Vandel, 1952) were reported from the coasts of the country.

This paper deals with recent collections of Oniscidea from both the Pacific and Caribbean coastal areas of Costa Rica, and includes the descriptions of three new species and two new records for the country.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The specimens included in this paper have been collected in November 2015 on both
sandy and rocky coasts of the Pacific side of Costa Rica. Also included are littoral terrestrial isopods deposited in the collection of the Museo de Zoología, Universidad de Costa Rica (MZUCR), San José. Specimens were collected by hand and stored in 75% ethanol. The geographic coordinates of the locations were taken using WGS84 datum. Identifications are based on morphological characters. For each new species the material examined, description, etymology and remarks are given. For each species already recorded from Costa Rica the bibliographic references, material examined, distribution and remarks (when necessary) are included. Some poorly known species have been illustrated to facilitate future recognition. The taxa are illustrated with figures prepared with the aid of a camera lucida mounted on Wild M5 and M20 microscopes and digitally drawn using the method by Montesanto (2015, 2016). For some species pictures were taken with a Scanning Electron Microscope Hitachi S-3700N.


**SYSTEMATIC ACCOUNT**

**Family Ligiidae Leach, 1814**

**Genus Ligia Fabricius, 1798**

*Ligia baudiniana* Milne Edwards, 1840

*Ligia (Hirtiligia) baudiniana*; Schultz, 1974: 167, figs 122-3.

*Ligia baudiniana*; Leistikow, 1997a: 1417, figs. 2-7; Leistikow & Wägele, 1999: 2; Schmalfuss, 2003: 141.


**Costa Rican records**: Puntarenas (Schultz, 1974). Puntarenas Prov., Corcovado, Punta Salsipuedes; Limón Prov., Punta Cahuita; Isla San Lucas (Leistikow, 1997a).

**Distribution**: Atlantic and Pacific shores of the Americas from Florida to Brazil and from California to Ecuador, including Galapagos Islands (Schmalfuss, 2003).

**Remarks**: At present, this is the only species of *Ligia* recorded from both coasts of Costa Rica. The species has been fully redescribed and illustrated by Leistikow (1997a).

**Family Tylidae Milne Edwards, 1840**

**Genus Tylos Audouin, 1826**

*Tylos wegeneri* Vandel, 1952


**Costa Rican records**: Puntarenas (Schultz, 1983).

**Distribution**: USA: Florida; Costa Rica: Pacific Coast; Lesser Antilles: Saint Martin; Trinidad; Tobago; Venezuela (Schmalfuss, 2003).

**Remarks**: *Tylos wegeneri* was recorded from Puntarenas by Schultz (1983). This is the only record of this species for the Pacific coast, while the species seems to be widespread in the Caribbean Sea. However, no records from the Caribbean coast of Costa Rica are known. For a description and figures of this species see Vandel (1952) and Schultz (1970).
**Tylos niveus** Budde-Lund, 1885
Figs. 1, 2

**Material examined:** 3 ♂♂ (MZUCR 2867-02), Boca Coronado, manglar Térraba-Sierpe, 3.IX.2008, leg. L. Rolier Lara.

**Distribution:** Florida; Bahamas; Bermudas; Cuba; Tobago; Bonaire; Dominica; Curazao; Virgin Islands; Puerto Rico; Mexico; Belize; Venezuela; Colombia; Brazil


**Remarks:** The main characters of this species are illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2 to confirm its identification and facilitate future recognition. For a complete list of synonyms of this species see Schmalfuss & Vergara (2000). This is the first record for the Pacific coast.

---

**Fig. 1.** Tylos niveus Budde-Lund, 1885, ♂ from Boca Coronado. **A.** Adult specimen in lateral view; **B.** Cephalon, frontal; **C.** Cephalon, dorsal; **D.** Pleonites 4, 5 and telson, dorsal; **E.** Pleon and uropods, ventral; **F.** Antennula; **G.** Antenna.
Incertae sedis
Genus Buchnerillo Verhoeff, 1942
Buchnerillo neotropicalis n. sp.
Figs. 3-6

Holotype: ♂ (MZUF 9685), Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntarenas, 9°44’32.9” N and 84°37’53.0” W, sandy beach, under logs, 27.XI.2015, leg. S. Taiti, J.A. Vargas & R. Vargas.

Paratypes: 6 ♀♀ (MZUF 9685), 4 ♀♀ (MZUCR-3529-04), same data as holotype.

Additional material: 2 ♀♀ used for scanning microscope analysis, same data as holotype.

Description: Maximum size: ♂ 1.5 x 0.8 mm; ♀ 1.9 x 1 mm. Colour pale. Animals able to roll up into a perfect ball (Fig. 3A, B). Dorsal body surface covered with tubercles disposed as follows: five rows on cephalon; three rows on pereonite 1; two rows on pereonites 2 - 7; two rows on pleonites 3 and 4; one row on pleonite 5; four tubercles on telson; each dorsal tubercle apically with one scale-seta (Fig. 3C, D). Cephalon (Fig. 4A, B) with frontal shield grooved, upper margin regularly convex, lower margin sinuous on both sides; eye consisting of 4 ommatidia. Pereonite 1 (Fig. 4B) with semicircular hollow on anterior margin in which ocular lobe fits; postero-lateral corner with schisma, inner lobe rounded and distinctly protruding backwards; posterior margin straight. Pereonites 2 - 4 with triangular epimera. Pereonites 5 - 7 with quadrangular epimera. Pleonites 1 and 2 not visible in dorsal view, pleonite 3 with no visible epimera, pleonite 4 twice as long as pleonite 5 (Fig. 4C). Telson (Fig. 4D) semicircular. Antennula (Fig.

Fig. 2. Tylos niveus Budde-Lund, 1885, ♂ from Boca Coronado. A. Pereopod 1; B. Pereopod 7; C. Pleopod 2.
5A) of two articles; first article about twice as long as second; second article with two large petaliform aesthetascs at apex. Antenna (Fig. 5B) short and thickset; flagellum of 3 articles, second article bearing 2 aesthetascs. Mandibles (Fig. 5C, D) with molar penicil semidichotomized, left mandible with 2 + 1 free penicils; right mandible with 1 + 1 free penicils. Maxillula (Fig. 5E) inner branch with a few setae at apex but no penicils; outer branch with 4 + 4 teeth, all apically entire, and stalk among outer group of teeth. Maxilla (Fig. 5F) with triangular distal part bearing thick setae. Maxilliped (Fig. 5G) endite triangular with two subapical stout setae and apical penicil; palp with two setae on first article. Pereopods (Fig. 6A) with one large trifid seta on carpus, long setose dactylar seta and flagelliform ungual seta; pereopod 7 with water conducting system on basis. Uropod (Fig. 5H) protopod quadrangular; endopod much longer than exopod, both with very long apical setae.

Male: Pereopod 7 (Fig. 6B) with no distinct modifications; ischium sternal margin
straight. Pleopod 1 (Fig. 6C) exopod small, ovoidal; endopod very elongated with distal part triangular, straight. Pleopod 2 (Fig. 6D) exopod triangular with one distal hairy seta and some short thin setae on inner and outer margin; endopod of two articles, distal article flagelliform, about 5 times longer than first. Pleopod 3 - 5 exopods as in Fig. 6E-G.

**Etymology:** The name of the species refers to the localities of collection of the species, the Neotropical Region.

**Remarks:** The new species is included in *Buchnerillo* since it shows all the characters of the genus: small size; animal able to toll up into a perfect ball; endoantennal conglobation; dorsal surface tuberculated; cephalon with a wide frontal shield; pleonite 3 with epimera reduced; telson semicircular covering the uropods in dorsal view; antenna short and stout with a flagellum of three articles; male pleopod 2 with distal article flagelliform. Up to date, only two species of *Buchnerillo* are known: *B. litoralis*
Verhoeff, 1942 and *B. oceanicus* Ferrara, 1974. The former is known from the shores of the Mediterranean Sea and Madeira (Schmalfuss, 2003); a record of a female specimen from Florida Keys (Paoletti & Stinner, 1989) is very doubtful and the identification needs confirmation. The latter is presently known from Somalia (Ferrara, 1974) and the Maldives (Taiti, 2014). *Buchnerillo neotropicalis* n. sp. differs from both species in the presence of a schisma on the pereonite 1 with inner lobe distinctly protruding backwards, whereas in *B. litoralis* and *B. oceanicus* a small rounded ventral lobe is present at the postero-lateral corners,

![Diagram](image_url)

**Fig. 5. Buchnerillo neotropicalis** n. sp. ♀ from Playa Pita. A. Antennula; B. Antenna; C. Left mandible; D. Right mandible; E. Maxillula; F. Maxilla; G. Maxilliped; H. Uropod.
not protruding backwards. It also differs from *B. litoralis* (see redescription in Vandel, 1960) in having the cephalon with frontal shield grooved, larger eyes (4 ommatidia instead of 1 - 2), dorsal tubercles more prominent and male pleopod 1 endopod with distal part thicker and straight; from *B. oceanicus* in the frontal shield with lower margin sinuous on both sides instead of regularly curved.

The systematic position of genus *Buchnerillo* is still uncertain. It was included by Vandel (1960) in the section Synocheta and family

---

*Fig. 6. Buchnerillo neotropicalis* n. sp. ♂ from Playa Pita. A. Pereopod 1; B. Pereopod 7; C. Pleopod 1; D. Pleopod 2; E. Pleopod 3 exopod; F. Pleopod 4 exopod; G. Pleopod 5 exopod.
Buddelundiellidae (now a subfamily of Trichoniscidae). Tabacaru (1993) recognized that the genus could not belong to the Synocheta and Schmalfuss (2003) included it into the higher Oniscidea (the Crinocheta) and hypothesised that the genus might belong to the family Detonidae, close to the genus Armadillonicus. According to the maxillular endite bearing only some apical setae without penicils, it might also be related to the family Olibrinidae. However, since no safe conclusion can be reached with morphological characters, we still maintain the genus as incertae sedis as proposed by Taiti & Ferrara (1991). A molecular analysis might be useful to clarify the family placement of Buchnerillo.

Family Detonidae Budde-Lund, 1904
Genus Armadillonicus Uljanin, 1875
Armadillonicus cf. caraibicus Paoletti & Stinner, 1989

Material examined: 1 ♀ (MZUF 9687), 1 ♀ used for scanning microscope analysis, Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntarenas, 9°44’32.9” N and 84°37’53.0” W, beach under logs, 27.XI.2015, leg. S. Taiti, J.A. Vargas & R. Vargas.


Remarks: The two female specimens here examined are morphologically very similar to Armadillonicus caraibicus described by Paoletti & Stinner (1989) for the Caribbean coast of Venezuela. The main characters of the Costa Rican specimens are shown in Fig. 7. They show the same disposition of dorsal tubercles as those from Venezuela (see Figs. 10 and 11 in the original description by Paoletti & Stinner, 1989 and Figs. 32 and 33 in Schmidt, 2002) but they are less developed. Since we have examined only 2 females, we only tentatively identify them as A. cf. caraibicus.

Family Philosciidae Kinahan, 1957
Genus Hawaiioscia Schultz, 1973
Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis n. sp.

Material examined: 1 ♂, 1 ♀, used for scanning microscope analysis, same data as holotype.

Description: Maximum size: ♂, 5.8 x 2.5 mm; ♀, 6 x 3 mm. Dorsum dark brown with the usual muscle spots; a large pale spot at base of pereon epimera. Body flat, ovoidal, with pleon narrower than pereon (Fig. 8A, B). Dorsal body surface finely granulated with small triangular scale-setae (Fig. 9A). Pereonites with no sulcus marginalis, gland pores absent. Noduli laterales small (Fig. 9B) but clearly visible, inserted on small tubercles and disposed as follows: two on cephalic vertex, one per side on pereonites 1 - 6 with that on fourth pereonite much more distant from lateral margin of segment, and two per side on pereonite 7 (Fig. 9C-E). Cephalon (Figs. 8C, 9E) with short triangular lateral lobes not protruding frontwards compared with obtuse middle lobe; frontal and supra-antennal lines absent; eyes consisting of 19 - 20 ommatidia. Pleon epimera reduced but with distinct posterior points (Fig. 8A, D). Telson (Fig. 8D) triangular, about twice as wide as long, with slightly concave sides and broadly rounded apex. Antennula (Fig. 9F) of 3 articles, second article slightly shorter than first and third; third article bearing two rows of 3 and 5 aesthetascs each, and 2 apical aesthetascs. Antenna (Fig. 9G) long and thin, reaching back rear margin of pereonite 3; flagellum slightly longer than fifth.
peduncular article, first flagellar article as long as third, second article shortest; one row of 1-2 and 3 aesthetases on each second and third article. Mandibles (Fig. 10A, B) with molar penicil semidichotomized, i.e. consisting of 4 setae on a common stem; left mandible with 2+1 and right mandible with 1+1 free penicils. Maxillula (Fig. 10C) outer branch with 4+6 teeth, all simple and stalk among the outer teeth; inner branch with two stout subequal penicils. Maxilla (Fig. 10D) apically setose and bilobate. Maxilliped (Fig. 10E) endite apically setose and bearing large penicil at medial corner, proximal article of palp bearing 2 strong setae. Pereopods with flagelliform dactylar seta apically slightly enlarged; ungual seta

Fig. 7. Armadillonicus cf. caraibicus Paoletti & Stinner, 1989. ♀ from Playa Pita. A. Adult specimen in dorsal view; B. Cephalon and pereonites 1-3, dorsal; C. Pleonites 3-5, telson and uropods, dorsal; D. Antennal flagellum.
flagelliform almost reaching tip of outer claw (Fig. 10F). Pleopodal exopods with no trace of respiratory structures. Uropodal protopod grooved on outer margin; insertion of endopod slightly proximal to that of exopod.

Male: Pereopods 1 - 4 (Figs. 8B, 10F) merus and carpus with a brush of trifid spines on sternal margin. Pereopod 7 (Figs. 8E, 11A) ischium with sternal margin slightly convex in middle. Genital papilla as in Fig. 11B. Pleopod 1 (Fig. 11C) exopod cordiform, with broadly rounded apex; endopod with thickest distal part, with bilobed apex. Pleopod 2 (Fig. 11D) exopod triangular, with straight outer margin and very convex medial margin, and bearing 4 setae on outer margin near apex. Pleopods 3 - 5 exopod as in Fig. 11E-G.

**Etymology:** The name of the species refers to the Gulf of Nicoya, where Playa Pita is located.

**Remarks:** The new species is included in the genus *Hawaiioscia* since all the most important characters (number and position of noduli laterales, maxillular teeth, penicil on maxillipetal endite, uropod and shape of male pleopod) correspond to the definition of that genus (see diagnosis in Taiti & Howarth 1997). The genus *Hawaiioscia* comprises five species from the Hawaiian Islands and Easter Island (Schultz 1973; Taiti & Howarth 1997; Taiti & Wynne,}

---

**Fig. 8.** *Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis* n. sp. specimens from Playa Pita. **A.** Adult female in dorsal view; **B.** Adult male in ventral view; **C.** Cephalon and pereonite 1, dorsal (♀); **D.** Pleonite 5, telson and uropods, dorsal (♀); **E.** Pleon, ventral (♂).
2015): *H. parvituberculata* Schultz, 1973 from Maui, *H. microphthalma* Taiti & Howarth, 1997 from Oahu, *H. paeninsulae* Taiti & Howarth, 1997 from Molokai, *H. rotundata* Taiti & Howarth, 1997 from Kauai, and *H. rapui* Taiti & Wynne, 2015 from Easter Island. The Hawaiian species are all cave-dwelling and show troglo-morphic traits, such as body depigmentation and eye reduction, while *H. rapui* inhabits cave entrances and does not show distinct troglo-morphic traits. *Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis* is the only littoral halophilic species known so far. The

![Fig. 9. *Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis* n. sp. ♀ from Playa Pita. A. Dorsal scale-seta; B. Nodulus lateralis; C. B/c and d/c coordinates of noduli laterales; D. Pereonites showing position of noduli laterales; E. Cephalon, frontal; F. Antennula; G. Antenna.](image-url)

Fig. 10. Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis n. sp. ♀ from Playa Pita. A. Left mandible; B. Right mandible; C. Maxillula; D. Maxilla; E. Maxilliped; F. Pereopod 1.
Fig. 11. *Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis* n. sp. ♂️ from Playa Pita. A. Pereopod 7; B. Genital papilla; C. Pleopod 1; D. Pleopod 2; E. Pleopod 3 exopod; F. Pleopod 4 exopod; G. Pleopod 5 exopod.
new species is readily distinguishable from the Hawaiian species by the pigmented body, the eye well developed and in having the molar penicil of the mandible semidichotomized, instead of simple. For this last character, the new species shows closest affinities with *H. rapui* from which it mainly differs in having larger eyes (19-20 instead of 8 ommatidia) and in the shape of the male pleopods 1 and 2.

Family Platyarthridae Verhoeff, 1949

**Genus Trichorhina** Budde-Lund, 1908

*Trichorhina biocellata* n. sp.

Figs. 12-16

**Holotype:** ♂ (MZUCR-3529-01), Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntarenas, 9°44'32.9" N and 84°37'53.0" W, beach under logs, 27.XI.2015, leg. S. Taiti, R. Vargas & J.A. Vargas.

**Paratypes:** 15 ♂♂, 25 ♀♀, 8 juvs (MZUF 9693), same data as holotype; 14 ♂♂, 17 ♀♀ (MZUCR-3529-02), same locality and date, leg. R. Vargas; 7 ♂♂, 10 ♀♀ (MZUCR-3529-03), 8 ♂♂, 13 ♀♀ (MZUF 9694), Bahia de Caldera, Puntarenas, 9°55'26.7" N and 84°42'53.7" W, beach under logs, 27.XI.2015, leg. S. Taiti, R. Vargas & J.A. Vargas; 2 ♂♂ (MZUF 9695), Manuel Antonio, Playa Espadilla, Puntarenas, 9°23'19" N and 84°08'48" W, beach margin, under dead wood, 18.VII.2013, leg. S. Taiti.

**Additional material examined:** 1 ♂, 1 ♀, used for scanning microscope analysis, same data as holotype.

**Description:** Maximum size: ♂, 3.5 x 1.5 mm; ♀, 3.2 x 1.5 mm. Dorsum pale brown. Body flat, ovoidal, without interruption between pereon and pleon (Fig. 12A, B). Dorsal body surface covered with spatuliform scale-setae (Fig. 12C). Pereonites with no sulcus marginalis, gland pores absent. Noduli laterales small (Fig. 14A, C), inserted on posterior margin of pereonites, one per side on pereonites 1-6 and two per side on pereonite 7. Cephalon (Figs. 12D, 14B) with short rounded lateral lobes not protruding frontwards compared with obtuse middle lobe; frontal and supra-antennal lines absent; eyes consisting of two ommatidia of same size (Fig. 13A). Pleon epimera well developed, falciform, directed backwards (Fig. 13B). Telson (Fig. 13B) triangular, about twice as wide as long, with slightly concave sides and narrowly rounded apex. Antennula (Fig. 14D) of 3 articles, second article much shorter than first and third; third article bearing tuft of about 8 aesthetascs at apex. Antenna (Figs. 13C, 14E) short, reaching back rear margin of pereonite 2; flagellum as long as fifth peduncular article, second flagellar article almost 3 times longer than first, bearing 2 aesthetascs. Mandibles (Fig. 14F, G) with molar penicil semidichotomized, i.e. consisting of 3 - 4 setae on a common stem; left mandible with 2+1 and right mandible with 1+1 free penicils. Maxillula (Fig. 14H) endite apically with 2 triangular setae, proximal article of palp bearing 2 strong setae. Pereopods with flagelliform dactylar seta apically slightly enlarged; ungual seta flagelliform reaching tip of outer claw (Fig. 15B). Pleopodal exopods with no trace of respiratory structures. Uropod: insertion of endopod slightly proximal to that of exopod.

Male: Pereopods 1 - 4 (Figs. 12B, 15B) merus and carpus with a brush of trifid spines on sternal margin. Pereopod 7 (Fig. 15C) ischium with sternal margin slightly sinuous. Genital papilla as in Fig. 16A. Pereopod 1 (Fig. 16B) exopod rounded, about twice as wide as long; endopod with distal part slightly bent medially, with triangular apex. Pereopod 2 (Fig. 16C) exopod triangular, with straight outer margin bearing 3 setae; endopod distinctly longer than exopod. Pereopods 3 - 5 exopod as in Fig. 16D-F.

**Etymology:** Latin: *bi* = double + *ocellatus* = having eyes. The name refers to the eye consisting of two ocelli of the same size.

**Remarks:** The new species belongs to the *tomentosa*-group of *Trichorhina* characterized by the presence of two noduli laterales per side on the pereonite 7. This group includes with
certainty *T. tomentosa* (Budde-Lund, 1893), *T. heterophthalma* Lemos de Castro, 1964, both widespread in the tropics, and *T. guanophila* Souza-Kury, 1993 from Brazil. The new species is readily distinguished from all these species by the eye consisting of two ommatidia of equal size (one in *T. tomentosa*, two unequal ommatidia in *T. heterophthalma*, and five in *T. guanophila*); from *T. guanophila* also in the male pleopod 1 exopod wider than long.

Two more species of *Trichorhina* are recorded from Costa Rica by Arcangeli (1930): *T. giannellii* Arcangeli, 1929, known also from Cuba, and *T. marianii* Arcangeli, 1930. From their original descriptions no information is present on the number and position of the

---

**Fig. 12.** *Trichorhina biocellata* n. sp. specimens from Playa Pita. **A.** Adult female in dorsal view; **B.** Adult male in ventral view; **C.** Dorsal scale-setae (♀); **D.** Cephalon, dorsal (♀).
noduli laterales, so we do not know if they belong to the tomentosa-group. *Trichorhina biocellata* n. sp. differs from these two species in the eye with only two ommatidia (four or five in *T. giannellii* and 10 in *T. marianii*).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study seven species of terrestrial isopods are recorded from sandy and rocky shores of both coasts of Costa Rica. Three species (*Buchnerillo neotropicalis*, *Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis* and *Trichorhina biocellata*) are described as new and two species (*Tylos niveus* and *Armadilloniscus cf. caraibicus*) represent new records for Costa Rica. The total number of Oniscidean species presently known from Costa Rica increases from 25 to 30 (Table 1).

Six species are strictly littoral, halophilic: *L. baudiniana*, *T. wegeneri*, *T. niveus*, *B. neotropicalis* n. sp., *A. cf. caraibicus*, and *H. nicoyaensis* n. sp. All these species, with the exception of *H. nicoyaensis* and *B. neotropicalis*, occur on both the Pacific and Caribbean coasts of Costa Rica or in other countries along the Atlantic coast of the Americas. No morphological differences could be detected from the Pacific and Caribbean populations of these species; only *A. cf. caraibicus* from Playa Pita on the Pacific coast showed small differences in the less developed dorsal ornamentation, even if of the same type, from the original specimens described from the Caribbean coast of Venezuela. It will be quite interesting to check both the Pacific and Caribbean populations of these five species with molecular markers to see if there is a cryptic diversity between them, as revealed in other littoral isopods, e.g. in *Exciorlana braziliensis* Richardson, 1912, Cirolanidae (Hurtado et al., 2016), considering that the isthmus of Panama was definitely closed 2.8 Ma (O’Dea et al., 2016).

An interesting discovery was the new species of *Hawaiioscia* inhabiting the sandy shore of the Pacific side. The genus was previously known only from troglomorphic cave-dwelling
Fig. 14. *Trichorhina biocellata* n. sp. ♂ from Playa Pita. 

A. B/c and d/c coordinates of noduli laterales; 
B. Cephalon, frontal; 
C. Epimeron of pereonite 7 showing noduli laterales (n.l.); 
D. Antennula; 
E. Antenna; 
F. Left mandible; 
G. Right mandible; 
H. Maxillula; 
I. Maxilla.
species in the Hawaiian Islands and a troglobilic species from Easter Island. The number of species of Oniscidea from littoral areas of Costa Rica will certainly increase as soon as all the coasts on both sides of the country will be properly investigated.

Fig. 15. *Trichorhina biocellata* n. sp. ♂ from Playa Pita. A. Maxilliped; B. Pereopod 1; C. Pereopod 7.
Fig. 16. *Trichorhina biocellata* n. sp. ♂ from Playa Pita. A. Genital papilla; B. Pleopod 1; C. Pleopod 2; D. Pleopod 3 exopod; E. Pleopod 4 exopod; F. Pleopod 5 exopod.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Costa Rican records</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ligia baudiniana</em> Milne-Edwards, 1840</td>
<td>Ligiidae</td>
<td>Puntarenas (Schultz, 1974). Corcovado, Punta Salsipuedes; Punta Cahuita; Isla San Lucas (Leistikow, 1997a). Manuel Antonio, Playa Espadilla, Puntarenas; Manuel Antonio, Quepos, Aguirre, Puntarenas; Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntarenas; Isla San José, Islas Murciélago, Guanacaste; Sámara, Guanacaste; Reserva de Cabo Blanco, sector San Miguel; Playa Blanca, Punta Morales, playa Arenosa; Isla Cuyaba, Cabo Blanco (new records)</td>
<td>Atlantic and Pacific shores of America from Florida to Brazil and from California to Ecuador, including Galapagos Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tylos wegeneri</em> Vandel, 1952</td>
<td>Tylidae</td>
<td>Puntarenas (Schultz, 1983)</td>
<td>Florida; Costa Rica; Lesser Antilles; Trinidad; Tobago; Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tylos niveus</em> Budde-Lund, 1885</td>
<td>Tylidae</td>
<td>Boca Coronado, manglar Térraba-Sierpe (new record)</td>
<td>Florida; Bahamas; Bermudas; Cuba and other Caribbean islands; Mexico; Belize; Venezuela; Puerto Rico; Colombia; Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clavigeroniscus riquieri</em> (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Styloniscidae</td>
<td>Puente de Las Mulas; Orijuaco; San José (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Pantropical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Buchnerillo neotropicalis</em> n. sp.</td>
<td>Incorrectae sedis</td>
<td>Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntarenas; Tortuguero, Limón (new records)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Armadilloniscus</em> cf. <em>carabicus</em> Paoletti &amp; Stinner, 1989</td>
<td>Detonidae</td>
<td>Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntarenas (new record)</td>
<td>Venezuela; Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“<em>Chaetophiloscia</em>” <em>gatunensis</em> (Van Name, 1926)</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>Apaican (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Costa Rica; Panama; ? Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis</em> n. sp.</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntarenas (new record)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ischioscia cadoangels</em> Leistikow, 2000</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>Heredia, Caída el Angel, Vara Blanca; Cartago, Tapianti (Leistikow, 2000a)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ischioscia pharimaculata</em> Leistikow, 2000</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>Guanacaste, Santa Rosa, Braulio Carrillo; Cartago, Orosí, El Cedral; Cartago, Turriaiba (Leistikow, 2000a)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ischioscia quadrispinis</em> Leistikow, 2000</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>Heredia, Cerro Zurqui (Leistikow, 1997b)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mirtana costaricensis</em> Leistikow, 1997</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>Heredia, Cerro Zurqui (Leistikow, 1997b)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Costa Rican records</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Pentoniscus pruinosus</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>La Estrella; La Mica, south of Orosí; Pitahaya, south of Cartago (Richardson, 1913). San José; San Juan; Apaican; Volcán Irazú; Puente de las Mulas; Tuis (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Pentoniscus vargasae</td>
<td>Philosciidae</td>
<td>San José, Parque Nacional Braulio Carillo (Leistikow, 1998)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Colomboniscus tristani</td>
<td>Scleropactidae</td>
<td>Puente de Las Mulas (Arcangeli, 1930; Van Name, 1936). San José; San Juan; La Palma; Orijuaco; Apaican; Volcán Irazú; faldas Volcán Irazú (Arcangeli, 1930). San José; Originaco (= Orijuaco); “Fed. Hort. Board” (Schmidt, 2007)</td>
<td>Costa Rica; Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 “Scleropactes” estherae</td>
<td>Scleropactidae</td>
<td>La Palma (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Navamundoniscus baldonii</td>
<td>Dubioniscidae</td>
<td>San José (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Trichorhina giannellii</td>
<td>Platyarthridae</td>
<td>Puente de Las Mulas; San José; Orijuaco; Apaican; faldas Volcán Irazú; San Juan (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Cuba; Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Trichorhina marianii</td>
<td>Platyarthridae</td>
<td>Puente de Las Mulas; San José; faldas Volcán Irazú; San Juan (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Trichorhina biocellata n. sp.</td>
<td>Platyarthridae</td>
<td>Playa Pita, S of Tárcoles, Puntaarenas (new record)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Nagurus cristatus</td>
<td>Trachelipodidae</td>
<td>Puerto Limón (Budde-Lund, 1908; Arcangeli, 1930). San José; Puente de Las Mulas (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Pantropical; greenhouses in temperate climates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Porcellionides pruinosus</td>
<td>Porcellionidae</td>
<td>Turrubales (Richardson, 1910). San José; Apaican (Arcangeli 1930)</td>
<td>Cosmopolitan species of Mediterranean origin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Synarmadillo tristani</td>
<td>Armadillidae</td>
<td>Road between Juan Viñas and Reventazón; Turrialba (Richardson, 1910). San José (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Venezillo grenadensis</td>
<td>Armadillidae</td>
<td>San José (Arcangeli, 1930)</td>
<td>Grenada; Costa Rica; Cuba; Colombia; Venezuela</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Venezillo gigas</td>
<td>Armadillidae</td>
<td>San José (Leistikow, 1997a)</td>
<td>Nicaragua; Costa Rica; ? Colombia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We express our sincere thanks to Rita Vargas, Curator of Crustaceans (MZUCR), and to Jeffrey Sibaja for their invaluable help in collecting part of the material here treated. We also thank Rafael Loáiciga for technical assistance with the Scanning Electron Microscope housed at CIEMIC, UCR. S.T. wishes to thank the Centro de Investigación en Ciencias del Mar y Limnología (CIMAR) and the University of Costa Rica for their invitation to give a talk and do research in Costa Rica at the end of 2015. Field trips and SEM access were facilitated by projects UCR-VI-808-B3-113 The benthos of Punta Morales, and UCR-VI-808-B4-117 Ecology of beaches and rocky shores of Costa Rica, both with J. Sibaja as Principal Investigator.

RESUMEN

Isopoda terrestre (Crustacea, Oniscidea) de las costas de Costa Rica, con descripciones de tres nuevas especies. Siete especies de isópodos terrestres están documentadas para las costas del Pacífico y Caribe de Costa Rica. Tres especies nuevas (Buchnerillo neotropicalis, Hawaiioscia nicoyaensis y Trichorhina biocellata) son descritas en este estudio y dos especies (Tylos niveus y Armadilloniscus cf. caribicus) son nuevos hallazgos para Costa Rica. Se ilustra la poco conocida T. niveus. En el presente el número total de isópodos terrestres registrados para Costa Rica es de 30 especies. Es interesante que las cuatro especies típicas litorales halofílicas (Ligia baudiniana, Tylos wegeneri, T. niveus y A. cf. caribicus) están presentes en la costa Pacífica de Costa Rica y también en el Caribe de Costa Rica y de otros países. Con excepción de A. cf. caribicus, no se encontró diferencias morfológicas en poblaciones de estas especies entre el Pacífico y el Caribe de Costa Rica.

Palabras clave: Crustacea, Isopoda, Oniscidea, biodiversidad, playas, Buchnerillo, Hawaiioscia, Trichorhina, América Central.
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