Morphometry of the crab *Hexapanopeus schmitti* (Decapoda: Xanthoidea) on the northern coast of the state of São Paulo, Brazil
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Abstract: A morphometric study of the xanthoid crab *Hexapanopeus schmitti* was carried out, using the allometric method. Samples were taken monthly for two years (1998-1999) in the Ubatuba region, northern coast of São Paulo, Brazil. Sex and size were assessed for each specimen, and all crabs were measured to obtain their carapace width (CW) and length (CL), abdomen width (AW) of females, major cheliped propodus length and height (PL and PH), and gonopod length (GL) of males. A total of 301 crabs were analyzed, 209 males and 92 females. The CWs of the crabs ranged from 2.5 to 9.8 mm for males and from 2.8 to 9.4 mm for females. The relative growth equation (y=axᵇ) based on the relationship between GL and CW suggested that males reach their morphological sexual maturity near 6.1 mm CW. In females, the estimated size at 50 % maturity was 4.8 mm CW, based on the relationship of AW vs. CW. Males reach larger sizes than females, which probably favors their ability to guard the females during courtship. In approximately 83 % of the crabs (n= 371), disregarding sex, the right cheliped was larger. Rev. Biol. Trop. 55 (Suppl. 1): 163-170. Epub 2007 June, 29.
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Arthropods have a growth pattern different from other zoological groups. At hatching, many of them have very different forms from those assumed by the adults; consequently, they undergo a series of transformations in the course of their development, from the post-larval to the adult phase (Mantelatto and Fransozo 1994). As growth progresses, certain dimensions of the animal’s body may grow much more than others, resulting in the phenomenon known as relative growth (Hartnoll 1974).

According to Rodrigues (1985), relative growth is a morphometric relationship, described by a mathematical equation, which relates the dimensions of parts of the body or of an organ to the entire animal. Studies of relative growth are often used to determine changes in the form and size of the abdomen, pleopods or chelipeds during ontogeny.

The size at sexual maturity in crustacean populations is an important aspect of the life history of the species (Stearns and Koella 1986). Sexual maturity is understood as the set of morphological and physiological transformations whereby young or immature individuals gain the ability to produce gametes. Sexual maturity permits these animals to begin acting directly on the mechanisms of population fluctuation (Mantelatto and Fransozo 1996). The few published studies of relative growth and sexual maturity of species of Xanthoidea include those of Finney and Abele (1981), Huber (1985), Vannini and Gherardi (1988), Góes and Fransozo (1997), Guimarães and Negreiros-Fransozo (2002) and Negreiros-Fransozo and Fransozo (2003).
The shape and size of the chelipeds are also important parameters in the life of crabs, since these structures are used for reproductive purposes and in agonistic interactions, as well as in feeding. The morphology and biomechanics of the chelae are of fundamental interest, given their importance for the ecology and evolution of the group (Bloch and Rebach 1998).

The objective of this study was to analyze the relative growth of *Hexapanopeus schmitti* Rathbun, 1930, based on certain morphometric relationships, and to assess the occurrence of heterochely in both sexes. Through analysis of these parameters it was possible to characterize the degree of allometry, as well as to estimate the size at which males and females reach morphological sexual maturity, thus evidencing their puberty molt.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The crabs were collected monthly for two years (1998 and 1999), using a fishing boat equipped with double-rig tow nets, in the region of Ubatuba (23°32'S, 44°44'W) on the northern coast of São Paulo state, Brazil. The individuals were identified and stored in labeled jars containing 70 % ethanol. In the laboratory, the crabs were counted and sexed. Their body dimensions were measured under a stereomicroscope fitted with a drawing tube. The measurements included the width (CW) and length (CL) of the carapace, width of the abdomen of females (AW) at the base of the 5th somite, the length and height of the propodus of the larger cheliped (PL and PH), and the gonopod length of the males (GL) (Fig. 1).

---

Fig. 1. *Hexapanopeus schmitti*. Schematic drawings of the body parts measured: CW= carapace width; CL= carapace length; AW= abdomen width; PL= cheliped propodus length; PH= cheliped propodus height; GL= gonopod length.
Measurements of the right and left chelipeds were used in the analysis of heterochely. The size at sexual maturity was estimated using the programs Mature I and II (Somerton 1980a, b), according to the graphical model of the relationships.

The power function \( y=ax^b \) was used for the relationship which best represents maturity for each sex. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed to compare slopes and intercepts of the regressions obtained within each allometric relationship (Zar 1996). Departures from isometry \( (H_o: b=1) \) were tested using a Student’s T-test on the slope values obtained \( (\alpha = 5 \%) \).

The length and height of the chelar propod were log-transformed and compared between sexes by covariance analysis \( (\alpha = 5 \%) \) and between sides using a paired T-test (Zar 1996). The mean carapace sizes of males and females were log-transformed and compared by Student’s T-test \( (\alpha = 5 \%) \).

**RESULTS**

A total of 451 individuals of *H. schmitti* were captured. Their sizes ranged from 2.5 to 9.8 mm for males \( (n= 321) \) and 2.8 to 9.4 mm for females \( (n= 130) \). The mean size of the adult males \( (7.16\pm1.02 \text{ mm}) \) was statistically larger than the mean size of adult females \( (5.64\pm0.95 \text{ mm}) \) (T-test, \( p<0.05 \)).

All the equations for relative growth obtained for males and females are shown in Table 1. The regression parameters (slope and intercepts) for each sex are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1: Hexapaneus schmitti. Regression analyses of morphometric data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Linearized equation</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>T(1)</th>
<th>Allometry level</th>
<th>Somerton’s F test Value(2)</th>
<th>Cutoff point (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>( \log CL = -0.060 + 0.911\log CW )</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>8.58*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>( \log CL = -0.113 + 0.987\log CW )</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.46**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JF</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>( \log CL = -0.059 + 0.903\log CW )</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3.68*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>( \log CL = -0.188 + 1.079\log CW )</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-2.01*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>( \log PL = -0.338 + 1.237\log CW )</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-10.4*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>( \log PL = -0.127 + 0.979\log CW )</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>JF</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>( \log PL = -0.217 + 1.009\log CW )</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-0.28**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>( \log PL = -0.339 + 1.177\log CW )</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.03*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>( \log PH = -0.719 + 1.402\log CW )</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-9.06*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>( \log PH = -0.275 + 0.855\log CW )</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.47**</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>( \log PH = -0.557 + 1.090\log CW )</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-2.58*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>JF</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>( \log AW = -0.793 + 1.488\log CW )</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-4.72*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>88.3 4.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>( \log AW = -0.648 + 1.291\log CW )</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-3.01*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GL</td>
<td>JM</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>( \log GL = -0.421 + 0.836\log CW )</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>4.94*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.8 6.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>( \log GL = -0.727 + 1.228\log CW )</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-4.75*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CL = carapace length; PL = major cheliped propodus length; PH = major cheliped propodus height; AW = abdomen width; GL = gonopod length; JM = juvenile male; AM = adult male; JF = juvenile female; AF = adult female; TF = total female; N = number of specimens; 0 isometry; + positive allometry; - negative allometry.

Carapace width (CW) was used as the independent variable.

(1) Student’s t-test for \( H_o: b=1 \): * significant at \( p<0.05 \); ns, non-significant at \( p>0.05 \)

(2) All F-ratios correspond to \( p<0.05 \).

(3) Size of 50 % of females at maturity, estimated by Mature I analyses (AW vs. CW); estimated by maturation point (Mature II analyses) for males (GL vs. CW) (Somerton 1980a, b).
intercept) of all the allometric relationships, for both sexes, were statistically different (ANCOVA, p<0.05) between the juvenile and adult phases, except for the relationship PH vs. CW for females. Females showed positive allometry in the relationship AW vs. CW during both phases, indicating that the growth rate of the abdomen is higher than that of the carapace width (Fig. 2). Gonopod growth in males showed negative allometry during the juvenile phase, and positive allometry with passage to the adult phase (Fig. 3).

The morphometric relationships that best indicated the change in the allometric coefficient between juveniles and adults were gonopod length for males and abdomen width for females. Thus, according to Somerton’s technique, the size at which 50 % of the males reached morphological sexual maturity was 6.1 mm CW (juvenile limit 4.5 mm and adult limit 8.5 mm), based on the GL vs. CW relationship; and for females was 4.8 mm CW (juvenile limit 3.5 mm and adult limit 5.5 mm), based on the AW vs. CW relationship. These limits were estimated by inspecting the graphs.

Table 2 shows the minimum, maximum and mean sizes of the chelipeds for each sex. The mean size (length and width) of both chelipeds of males and females differed statistically, being larger in males (ANCOVA, p<0.05). In both sexes, the right cheliped was statistically larger than the left one (paired T-test, p<0.05) (Table 3).

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propodus</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.43±1.1 aA</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.19±0.97 aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.82±0.71 bA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.61±0.63 bB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.86±0.65 aA</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.53±0.49 aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.45±0.39 bA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.22±0.29 bB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(M = males, F = females, SD = standard deviation).

Means followed by the same small letters do not differ statistically (within-sex comparison) (ANCOVA, p >0.05).

Means followed by the same capital letters do not differ statistically (within-side comparison) (Paired T-test, p >0.05).

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th></th>
<th>Left</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Paired T-test, p<0.05).
DISCUSSION

For brachyurans in general, when two carapace dimensions are correlated (e.g., CW and CL), changes during ontogeny do not occur, because growth tends to be isometric. This has been frequently observed; for example, for *Portunus spinimanus* (Santos et al. 1995), *Eriphia gonagra* (Gôes and Fransozo 1997), *Sesarma rectum* (Mantelatto and Fransozo 1999) and *Panopeus austrobesus* (Negreiros-Fransozo and Fransozo 2003). However, in the case of *H. schmitti* there was differential growth between the juvenile and adult phases for both sexes, as also observed for the ocyopodid *Ocypode quadrata* by Fransozo et al. (2002). This differential growth is probably associated with better accommodation of the gonads because of their development after sexual maturity.

The increase in abdominal width generally characterizes a distinct sexual dimorphism in representatives of the Brachyura. In the present study, from the relationship AW vs. CW it was possible to observe a change in growth of the abdomen of the females, showing a higher positive allometry in juveniles than in adults. This positive allometry may be an adaptive characteristic of the females, in which the wide abdomen provides a large area to maintain and protect the eggs, thus improving conditions for incubating the new generation (Haefner 1990, Mantelatto and Fransozo 1994). *H. schmitti* has a similar pattern to that found in the majority of crab species, including other species of Xanthoidea previously studied, such as *Trapezia ferruginea* (Finney and Abele 1981), *Eriphia smithii* (Vannini and Gherardi 1988), *Eriphia gonagra* (Gôes and Fransozo 1997), *Eurytium limosum* (Guimarães and Negreiros-Fransozo 2002) and *Panopeus austrobesus* (Negreiros-Fransozo and Fransozo 2003).

The growth of the gonopod showed positive allometry after sexual maturity, differing from that shown by *Eriphia gonagra* (Gôes and Fransozo 1997), but similar to that of other species such as *Goniopsis cruentata* (Cobo and Fransozo 1998), *Panopeus austrobesus* (Negreiros-Fransozo and Fransozo 2003) and *Ocypode quadrata* (Fransozo et al. 2002). The relationship GL vs. CW is a useful character to estimate the beginning of sexual maturity.

Differences between the sexes in size at sexual maturity are common, and frequently encountered in brachyurans. In this study, the males of *H. schmitti* reached sexual maturity at a larger size (6.1 mm CW) than the females (4.8 mm CW). This has also been observed in other species (Gôes and Fransozo 1997, Colpo and Negreiros-Fransozo 2003, Costa and Negreiros-Fransozo 2003, Benetti and Negreiros-Fransozo 2004). The slower growth of females in relation to males can be attributed to the former directing their potential energy principally to reproductive processes, whereas males invert more energy to somatic growth (Conan 1985, Díaz and Conde 1989).

Several researchers have noted the importance of the morphometry of chela dimensions to characterize possible sexual dimorphism and maturation in crabs (Hartnoll 1982, Abelló et al. 1990). In many brachyurans, the cheliped is larger in males than in females after sexual maturity is attained, but it is considered a secondary sex character (Hartnoll 1974).

The relationship PL vs. CW in males of *H. schmitti* showed a clear increase in the length of the propodus during the juvenile phase, with a high degree of allometry, whereas growth was less pronounced during the adult phase. In the case of females, the growth of this structure was more pronounced after morphological sexual maturation. This species shows a pattern similar to that described by Hartnoll (1982) for male brachyurans, whose growth is positively allometric during the juvenile phase and increases slightly in size after the puberty molt. However, the females showed a pattern different from that proposed by Hartnoll (1982), who affirmed the occurrence of isometry in all the phases.

The phenomenon of heterochely, which is the difference in size and function of the chelipeds, is pronounced in *H. schmitti*. In the present study, the length and height of both chelipeds differed between the sexes,
implying sexual dimorphism, considering the size of the chelipeds. The right cheliped of this species is larger than the left one. Other studies on certain species of Xanthoidea have found similar results (Vannini and Gherardi 1988, Góes and Fransozo 1998, Guimarães and Negreiros-Fransozo 2002, Negreiros-Fransozo and Fransozo 2003).

The presence of a larger cheliped is especially important for males, because the chelae that are used in intra- or interspecific combats can achieve disproportionately large sizes (Claxton et al. 1994). Another adaptive advantage may be obtained during the breeding season, when males compete with each other for females, which are held and manipulated with the chelipeds during the entire copulatory sequence (Hartnoll 1982, Pinheiro and Fransozo 1993); or, alternately, may be related to feeding behavior (Tsuchida and Fujikura 2000). The growth patterns of the chelipeds may be related as much to reproductive behaviors as to feeding, and further studies are needed to define the true role of heterochely in this species.
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